## Canyon County, ID Web Map



#### 6/30/2023, 1:57:24 PM







Bureau of Land Management, State of Oregon, State of Oregon DOT, State of Oregon GEO, Esri Canada, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, METI/ NASA, EPA, USDA

Canyon County, ID

Bureau of Land Management, State of Oregon, State of Oregon DOT, State of Oregon GEO, Esri Canada, Esri, HERE, Garmin, INCREMENT P, USGS, METI/NASA, EPA, USDA | COMPASS | Nampa GIS | City of Nampa |

# **MASTER APPLICATION**

CANYON COUNTY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

111 North 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue, #140, Caldwell, ID 83605 <u>www.canyonco.org/dsd.aspx</u> Phone: 208-454-7458 Fax: 208-454-6633



	OWNER NAME: Corsberg Land, LLC (Audrey & Terry Corsberg)						
PROPERTY	MAILING ADDRESS: 3901 E. Lewis Ln, Nampa ID 83686						
OWNER	PHONE: Audrey: 208-250-9809 Terry: 208-936-8527 EMAIL: terrycorsberg@gmail.com						
I consent to this Signature:	application and allow DSD staff / Commissioners to enter the property for site inspections. If owner(s) are a business entity, please include business documents, including those that indicate the person(s) who are eligible to sign.						
(AGENT)	CONTACT NAME: Lance Warnick						
ARCHITECT	COMPANY NAME: Aspen Engineers						
ENGINEER BUILDER	MAILING ADDRESS: 1619 N. Linder Rd, Suite 110, Kuna ID 83634						
	PHONE: 208-466-8181 EMAIL: Lance@AspenEngineers.com						
	STREET ADDRESS: 3901 & 4001 E. Lewis Ln, Nampa ID 83686						
1 	PARCEL #: R295331050 & R295330000 LOT SIZE/AREA: 77.63 Acres						
SITE INFO	LOT: BLOCK: SUBDIVISION:						
	QUARTER: NE 1/4 SECTION: 13 TOWNSHIP: 2N RANGE: 2W						
	ZONING DISTRICT: Rural-Residential FLOODZONE (YES/NO): NO						
HEARING	CONDITIONAL USECOMP PLAN AMENDMENTCONDITIONAL REZONE						
LEVEL	ZONING AMENDMENT (REZONE)DEV. AGREEMENT MODIFICATIONVARIANCE > 33%						
APPS	MINOR REPLATVACATIONAPPEAL						
	SHORT PLAT SUBDIVISION PRELIMINARY PLAT SUBDIVISION FINAL PLAT SUBDIVISION						
DIRECTORS	ADMINISTRATIVE LAND DIVISIONEASEMENT REDUCTIONSIGN PERMIT						
DECISION	PROPERTY BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENTHOME BUSINESSVARIANCE 33% >						
APPS	PRIVATE ROAD NAMETEMPORARY USEDAY CARE						
	$D_{1} = \frac{16}{2521} = \frac{16}{2521}$						
RECEIVED BY	APPLICATION FEE: 1100 CK MO CC CASH						
L							

Revised 1/3/21

#### **ALDINOLO** CANYON COL

CANYON COUNTY DEVELO	Phone: 208-454-7458 Fax: 208-454-6633
FIN	AL PLAT CHECKLIST
APPLICANT: TJ WELLARD FOR CHEW	SUBIVISION NAME: MEADOW BLUFF ESTATES SUB

SUBDIVISION CASE #:

#### CANYON COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES 12-008, § 07-17-13(1-6)

LAND USE CASE #:

The information hereinafter required as part of the preliminary plat submitted shall be shown graphically or by note on plans, and may comprise several sheets showing various elements or required data.

_				21. 1974 · · · ·
1. 1	A. A. wit Sur	HOD & MEDIUM OF PRESENTATION: All plats to be recorded shall be prepared on a drafting medium in accordance h Requirements of Idaho Code title 55, chapter 19, paragraph (1) for Records of vey Maps.	арр. []	DSD/SRT
а 3 9	В.	The plat shall be drawn to an accurate scale of not more than one hundred feet to inch $(100'=1'')$ unless otherwise approved by DSD prior to submission.	নি	
	C.	The final plat drawing shall be additionally submitted in digital form approved by the Director.		
2.	IDE	NTIFICATION DATA REQUIRED:		
	Α.	A title which includes the name of the subdivision and its location by number of section, township, range and county shall be placed together at one location at the top of the sheet and generally centered.	v 2	
	в.	Name, address and official seal of the surveyor preparing the plat.		
8	C.	North arrow	Ø	
	D.	Date of the preparation	<u> </u>	
	E.	Revision block showing dates of any revisions subsequent to the original prep- aration date. The revision block shall be part of the title block which shall be placed along the right edge of the drawing.	<u>ď</u>	
	CLIP		the designment	and the same
5.	A.	Boundaries of the tract to be subdivided and the interior lots are to be fully balanced and closed, showing all bearings and distances determined by an accurate survey in the field. All dimensions shall be expressed in feet and decimals	<u>V</u>	
		thereof.		
×	В.	Any excepted lots within the plat boundaries shall show all bearings and distances determined by an accurate survey in the field. All dimensions shall be expressed in feet and decimals thereof	<u>[]</u>	
	C.	Basis of bearing on the plat shall be referenced.		

F. Approval or certification of comment by impacted agencies that may include: highway districts, health department, the city when the development is in an area of city impact, treasurer, recorder, and state and federal agencies having jurisdiction.
DSD SUBDIVISION REVIEW TEAM USE ONLY - DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE
FINAL PLAT REVIEWED ON:/
COMPLIANCE WITH CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:
VERIFICATION OF APPROVED ROAD NAMES IN ACCORDANCE WITH PRELIMINARY PLAT:
SRT COMMENTS:
SRT COMMENTS:
-r.

NOTE:

- 1. If you would like to attend the Subdivision Review Team Meeting please contact our office at 208-454-7458.
- 2. If you are submitting revisions of your plat and there are items you feel were marked in error, please provide a written explanation as to why these items should not have been redlined.

## **Final Plat Application Checklist**

**Canyon County Development Services** 111 North 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue, #140, Caldwell, ID 83605. Phone 208-454-7458 fax 208-454-6633 www.canyoncounty.org



The following list details items that must be submitted with your application.

	Master Application completed and signed
	Copy of Final Plat
	ゼ Final Plat Checklist
0	Evidence that all improvements have been completed or
Pi	□ Bonded per CCC 07-23-25 (4)
	🖾 Final Drainage Plan, if applicable
	Final Irrigation Plan, if applicable
	☑ Final Grading Plan, if applicable
	Construction Drawings for all required improvements §07-17-29 (3)
	□/Fees

#### NOTE:

After the plat has been reviewed and found in compliance an additional five (5) copies and one electronic version of the final plat shall be submitted.



#### Canyon County, 111 North 11th Avenue, Caldwell, ID 83605

(208) 454 7458 = (208) 454 6633 Fax = Zoninginfo@canyonco.org • www.canyonco.org/dsd

Dear Property Owners/Applicants,

On behalf of the Canyon County Development Services Department – Planning Division, we thank you for your interest in developing in our community. Our department's number one priority is providing quality customer service. Unfortunately, due to the lack of planning staff and the current labor market conditions, we are falling short of that mission.

As of September 1, 2022, we have over 200 planning projects currently in queue. We are also working diligently on the adoption and implementation of the 2030 Canyon County Comprehensive Plan. The Planning Division has recently lost experienced planners, which has impacted application processing time. Besides myself, our division has just one (1) Planner III, whose primary focus is the 2030 Canyon County Comprehensive Plan. The rest of the division is mainly new planners and planner technicians training to get up to speed.

As our department works to get back to a normal processing time, we ask that you please be patient with our staff. They are working day in and day out to keep up with the growing needs of our county. Moving forward, we will continue to review applications in the order they are received and prioritize them accordingly. If your application has been recently filed and you want to withdraw, we will be more than happy to refund your application fee. If you wish to remain on file, please know that we will get to it as quickly as possible.

Please don't hesitate to contact us with questions or concerns.

Thanks in advance for your patience and understanding.

Sincere

Dan Lister Planning Official - Development Services Department

### FINAL PLAT SUBMITTAL LIST

#### CANYON COUNTY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

111 North 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue, #140, Caldwell, ID 83605 www.canyonco.org/dsd.aspx Phone: 208-454-7458 Fax: 208-454-6633



#### THE FOLLOWING ITEMS MUST BE SUBMITTED WITH THIS CHECKLIST:

	X	Aaster Application completed and signed								
	Ø	Copy of Final Plat								
Fur	WIZE	Evidence that all improvements have been completed or bonded per CCZO § 07-17-29 (4)								
	X	Final Drainage Plan, if applicable								
	X	Final Irrigation Plan, if applicable								
	X	Final Grading Plan, if applicable								
	Ø	Construction Drawings for all required improvements § 07-17-29 (3) \$930 +\$10/lot +\$100( if in an area of impact) non-refundable fee								
a and a second										

#### NOTE:

1. After the plat is reviewed and found to be in compliance, an additional five (5) copies and one electronic version of the final plat shall be submitted.

#### **PROCESS: PUBLIC HEARING**

#### **Canyon County Development Services**

111 N. 11th Ave. Room 140, Caldwell, ID 83605 (208) 454-7458

Building Division Email: buildinginfo@canyonco.org

Planning Division Email: zoninginfo@canyonco.org

|--|

Date: 8/5/2021

Date Created: 8/5/2021 Customer's Name: Corchora La Receipt Type: Normal Receipt

Status: Active

Customer's Name: Corsberg Land LLC

Comments: SD2021-0045 Location R295331050 & R29533 Red Tail Estaes #3

#### **CHARGES**

Item Being Paid For:	<b>Application Number:</b>	Amount Paid:	Prevs Pymnts:	Unpaid Amnt:
Planning - Final Plat Addition City Impact Area Fee	SD2021-0045	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Planning - Final Plat	SD2021-0045	\$930.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Planning - Final Plat Addition Per Lot Fee (Per Application)	SD2021-0045	\$130.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	Sub Total:	\$1,160.00	-	
	Sales Tax:	\$0.00		
	<b>Total Charges</b>	\$1,160.00	]	

#### **PAYMENTS**

Type of Payment:	Check/Ref Numbe	er: <u>Amount:</u>
Check	886	\$1,160.00
	Total Payme	nts: \$1,160.00

#### **ADJUSTMENTS**

Receipt Balance: \$0.00



Consulting, Soil Evaluations & Data Collection

March 23, 2021

HARLEY R. NOE Phone: 208.850.4926 Fax: 208.939-8602

Lance Warnick, PE Aspen Engineers 1619 N. Linder Road Suite 110 Kuna, ID 83634

### **RE:** Soil evaluation for storm water facilities

Today I observed soils at two locations on the Red Tail #3 project at 3901 and 4001 East Lewis Lane in Nampa. Attached are detailed profile descriptions of those test pits and a Google Earth based map showing the location of the excavations. You requested soil conditions present and suitability of the materials for stormwater systems.

## SOIL CONDITIONS

The soils in both holes have moderately fine silty clay loams and loams in the surface layer 12 to 23 inches deep. Silt loams, loams and fine sandy loams are present in the subsoil from 3.5 to 4.5 feet below ground level. Test pit 4-21 has silt loams to the bottom of the excavation depth at 13 feet. At test pit 14-21 a moderately cemented hardpan was observed between 51 and 71 inches deep which dug with some resistance. Below 71 inches to 109 inches was a second, weaker hardpan that could be broken with the hands and fingers. Silt loams and sandy loams are present in the deep substratum below 65 an 109 inches in the two test pits respectively.

## STORMWATER SYSTEM

Permeability rates are provided for each horizon shown on the descriptions. Beneath the topsoil and throughout the profile, permeability rates are mostly between 1 to 4 inches per hour. The hardpan in test pit 14-21 has restricted permeability. That layer should be broken out and either removed or replaced back in the trench. Other than the pan layer, all soils are free-drained. It appears that the deep substratum loams and silt loams will be the most likely receiving soil for storm drainage swales or seepage beds. If more rapid permeability is needed, all soils above 8 feet should be removed and replaced with filter sand.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

These soils should perform well for the projected use. As requested I collected 4 buckets of the 12 to 23 inch layer which will be delivered to the CMT Lab for R-value and compaction testing. I would predict a rather low R-value, possibly in the 10 to 15 range or less. The only profile restriction is the hardpan in the 14-21 test pit. Depending on variation of soils across the landscape that restriction can be removed if it appears to be a problem. These hardpans are moderately expressed, but dig without difficulty with larger excavating equipment.

Should you have questions or need anything additional, please contact me.

transmitted via e-mail

HARLEY R. NOE Professional Soil Scientist

cc w/attachments: Audrey Corsberg, Corsberg Land, LLC, 3901 E. Lewis Lane, Nampa, ID 83686

## Natural Resource Solutions, LLC

#### Storm Drain Test Hole Description & Evaluation

Date Of Evaluation:	3/23/2021	Evaluated by:	Harley Noe, Pro	ofessional Soil Scientist		
Requested By:	Corsberg Land, LLC	(Audrey Corsberg)				
Address: 3901 E. Lev	wis Lane			Phone:	(208) 250-9809	
City:	Nampa	State:	Idaho	Zip:	83686	
Legal Desc:	part of the west 1/2 of the NE 1/4 Section 13, Township 2 North, Range 2 West, Boise Meridian					
	Canyon County, Idał	10				
General Desc:	1/2 mile west of Happ	by Valley Road to south.				

Depth (inches)	Moist Munsell Color	USDA Texture	Clay %	Roots	Mottles	Est. Permeability (in/hr)	Comments	
Hole Number & Location:			TP4-21	43.51713	6 latitud	e; -116.52	0599 longitude	
0 to 12	10YR 3/3	silt loam	24 to 26	fine; common medium	none	0.5 to 1	friable moist; approaches silty clay Ioam	
12 to 24	10YR 5/4	loam	10 to 12	common very fine, fine & medium	none	1 to 2	very friable moist; weak subangular blocky structure	
24 to 46	10YR 6/4	loam	10 to 12	few very fine & fine	none	1 to 2	very friable moist; approaches fine sandy loam	
46 to 65	10YR 4/4	silt loam	13 to 15	few very fine & fine	none	1 to 2	approaches loam; 20% 3" diameter rounded basalt fragments	
65 to 156+	7.5YR 5/3	silt loam	17 to 18	none	none	1 to 2	40% 3" to 12" basalt fragments	
General Notes: Slope 1 to 3 percent. There are pockets in the bottom horizon that are 3 feet in diameter that								
do not have basalt fragments. All layers below 46 inches are very dry and loose and act like flour. No wetness features								
present in profile to more than 13 feet.								

Hole Number & Location: TP14-21 43.513891 latitude; -116.519833 longitude							
0 to 13	10YR 4/3	silty clay loam	28 to 30	many very fine & fine; few medium	none	0.2 to 0.6	friable moist; strong, fine granular structure
13 to 23	10YR 4/4	silt loam	18 to 20	common very fine & fine	none	1 to 2	subangular blocky structure; approaches fine & very fine sandy loam
23 to 40	10YR 5/4	fine sandy loam	12 to 14	few very fine & fine	none	2 to 4	moderately dense in place; friable moist
40 to 57	10YR 3/4	sandy loam	12 to 14	few very fine & fine	none	2 to 6	moderately dense in place; firm moist
57 to 71	10YR 6/2	moderately cemented hardpan	na	none	none	0.5 to 1	cannot be broken with the hands; fractured
71 to 109	10YR 4/3	weakly cemented hardpan	na	none	none	1 to 2	breaks and crumbles with fingers
109 to 156+	109 to 156+ 10YR 4/3 sandy loam 16 to 18 none none 2 to 4 broken						
General Notes: Slope 0 to 2 percent. No wetness features present in the profile. Fine sands are dominant							
size. All layers are free drained. Samples were taken from the 13 to 23 layer and submitted to CMT Labs for R-value and							
Proctor testing.							

TP 14

TP 4

0

E Lewis Ln



mage © 2021 Maxar Technologies





**Drainage Report** 

# RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 3

3901 & 4001 E. Lewis Ln Nampa, Idaho

Prepared for Corsberg Land, LLC 3901 E. Lewis Lane Nampa, ID 83686 Contact: Audrey Corsberg (208) 250-9809

Prepared by Lance Warnick, PE Principal Engineer Aspen Engineers, Chartered



Date Prepared July 23, 2021

Aspen File 20061

Aspen Engineers, Chartered

1619 N. Linder Rd, Suite 110 Kuna, Idaho 83634 208-466-8181 AspenEngineers.com



#### **Table of Contents**

Sect	tion and Description	Page
1.	Project Description	
2.	Sources of Information and Applicable Standards	
3.	Operation and Maintenance	
4.	Drawing Showing Site Drainage Area	6
5.	Drainage Calculations for Area #1A	7
6.	Drainage Calculations for Area #1B	10
7.	Size Swale for Basin #1	13
8.	Drainage Calculations for Area #2A	14
9.	Drainage Calculations for Area #2B	17
10.	Size Swale for Basin #2	20

#### **Appendices**

A.	Runoff Coefficient and Rainfall Intensity.	. 2 pages
В.	Operation and Maintenance Forms	. 5 pages
C.	Soils Report by NRS (March 23, 2021)	. 4 pages
D.	R-Value Testing	1 page



#### 1. Project Description

These calculations and attachments provide the background for the design for a new stormwater management system associated with the proposed development of Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3 located at 3901 & 4001 E. Lewis Ln in Nampa, Idaho. These calculations, together with the associated civil engineering plans are meant to provide information on the anticipated size and location of the drainage facilities that will be used to manage stormwater runoff from the development.

This site is somewhat unique is that there are gravity irrigation ditches along both sizes of the new roadway which are intended to intercept runoff from the gravity irrigation system and direct it toward the historical discharge points along west and north boundaries of the property. Therefore, only stormwater from the new road and the portions of the adjoining lots between the road and the gravity irrigation system needs to be considered in sizing the storm drain system. Stormwater runoff from the lots adjacent to the roads will be intercepted by the gravity irrigation ditches.

As shown on the construction plans, stormwater runoff from the proposed roadway will be directed to flow toward one of 2 swales—one located in Lot 1 near the north end of the property, and the other located in Lot 4 which is approximately the midpoint of the proposed roadway.

A map showing the area for each drainage basin is included on Page 6.

The sizes of the culverts that will convey stormwater runoff from the road were sized using the calculated peak flow for the time of concentration determined for each drainage area. The general configuration and area of the drainage basins are shown. Calculations for sizing the swales are included. There are then appendices that outline the anticipated runoff coefficients and rainfall intensity.

Results for the R-Value testing is included in Appendix D.

#### 2. Sources of Information and Applicable Standards

The following sources of data were used in preparing these calculations:

- A. Idaho Standard Public Works Construction Committee. Idaho Standards for Public Works Construction, Current Edition.
- B. Catalog of Stormwater Best Management Practices for Idaho Cities and Counties (2005).
- C. Highway Standards and Development Procedures for the Association of Canyon County Highway Districts (2017).



#### 3. Operation and Maintenance

This section is intended to address some anticipated operation of maintenance items that may need to be considered by the Homeowner's Association as part of the stormwater management system for the site.

A complete and thorough system inspection using the attached Inspection and Maintenance Forms (see Appendix C) shall be done three times a year (March, July and November and after any storm event that produces more than 0.5 inches of rainfall.

All maintenance work shall be done in accordance with OSHA regulations. All maintenance personnel shall always remember that safety is the first priority. Maintenance personnel should have the proper safety equipment (e.g., heavy boots, gloves, boots, first aid kits) and be properly trained before conducting any maintenance work.

As shown on the plans the swale will be lined with rocks or vegetation or other suitable materials to help reduce the potential for erosion.

The landscaped area of the site shall be regularly maintained through mowing, raking, etc. in order help keep the swale free from debris.

Dirt, leaves, grass clippings, and other materials shall be kept out of the street, borrow ditches, culverts and swale in order to avoid clogging the infiltration area in the bottom of the swale.

Contact utility companies before beginning to excavate any site since underground utilities may be present. Cover or clearly mark excavated areas that cannot be filled by the end of the day in order to alert site employees and visitors of the potential risk. Also, be aware of overhead utilities (e.g., electrical wires, cable, telephone) that could come into contact with maintenance equipment.

Identify where you will dispose of removed sediment or wastes prior to cleaning the storm water system. Use shovels, trowels, or a high-suction vacuum to remove wastes. Do not clean out sediment or waste with bare hands since it may be hazardous. Place the sediment or waste in an area where it cannot be washed into a storm drain or water body.

Wear gloves if any mechanical parts or structural components are going to be handled. Wearing gloves will reduce the risk of getting cuts and abrasions as well as reducing the risk of exposure of pollutants to the skin.

The following are some possible signs of problems relating to the performance of the stormwater system and a list of potential causes and probable remedies.



Sign of Failure	Potential Cause	Probably Remedies		
Water is ponding in	Debris has clogged the	Remove debris from culvert. Provide better		
the borrow ditches	culverts and blocked	housekeeping on landscape wastes and		
at the end of the	water from entering the	schedule more frequent cleaning.		
culverts.	culvert.			
Erosion where the	High flows of stormwater	To prevent further erosion, place fabric and		
culverts enter the	runoff caused erosion of	cobblestone on the east side of the swale where		
swale.	the swale.	the erosion has occurred,		
Swale doesn't drain	Stormwater has carried	Remove accumulated sediment. Scarify the		
within 48 hours of a	sediments into the	bottom of the swale and replace with soil new,		
storm event.	swale. The sediments	clean filter sand. It is recommended that a		
	have clogged the soils at	backhoe operator be used for this task.		
	the bottom of the swale.			



Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	7	ENGINEERS

#### 5. DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS FOR AREA #1A (NORTHEAST SIDE OF ARVALIS DR)

#### A. Find Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C)

It is assumed that the drainage area is covered by a mix of: pavement (C=0.95), landscaping (C=0.15), and rural residential lot (C=0.40). These will be used to generate a weighted runoff coefficient (C').

Surface	Area (sf)	%	C	A*C	1
Pavement	11 749	22%	0.95	0.21	4
Landscape	0	0%	0.15	0.00	4
Rural Residential Lot	40.796	78%	0.40	0.31	1
Total Area	52,545	100%	-	0.52	Weighted runoff coefficient
B. Find Time of Concentration					
1. Time of Saturation (Ts)					
Time of Saturation (Ts)	10	min		(see Section	n 3070.010.C)
2. Sheet Flow Travel Time (Tsheet)					
n Manning's roughness coefficient	0.24			See Table ir	n 3070.010.C (dense grass)
L Flow Length	20 ft Distance between gravity			tween gravity and borrow	
I Rainfall Intensity	1	in/hr		See Section	3070.010.C
s Slope	0.025	ft/ft		Average slo	pe of property
Time of sheet (Tsheet) =	0.9333*(n*L (I) <sup>0.4</sup> *s <sup>0.3</sup>	) <sup>0.6</sup>			
T(sheet)	2	min			
3. Pipe Flow Travel Time (Tpipe)					
L Length of pipe	0	ft			
v Velocity in pipe	2	fps		Assumed (s	ee Section 3070.010.C)

Toipe = 1 /v	0 sec
Tpipe	0.0 min

#### 4. Open Channel Flow Travel Time (Tchannel)

Ss Stationing for start of channel	19+02 ft	High Point
Se Stationing for end of channel	<mark>11+99</mark> ft	Low Point
L Length =  Ss-Se	703 ft	Absolute value of travel distance
v Velocity in channel	1.5 fps	Assumed (see Section 3070.010.C)
Tchannel = L/v Tchannel	469 sec 8 min	

#### 5. Find Time of Concentration (T<sub>c</sub>)

Time of concentration (Tc) is the sum of: the time of saturation; sheet flow travel time; pipe flow travel time; and open channel flow.

Tc = Ts + Tsheet + Tpipe + Tchannel

20 min

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	8	ENGINEERS

#### C. Find Peak Runoff for Time of Concentration (25-yr event for inlet, pipe sizing) In accordance with Section 3070.010, the primary conveyance system shall be designed for the 25-yr storm event, and secondary conveyance systems designed for the 100-yr storm event. Using the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) for the time of concentration storm event. C Runoff Coefficient 0.52 See above See Appendix A.2 (25-yr, 20-min) I Intensity 1.6 in/hr A Drainage Area See Page 6 1.21 acres Qp Peak Runoff = $C^{I*A}$ 1.01 cfs **D. Find Size of Culvert Needed** The size of the culvert entering the swale will be calculated using the peak discharge calculated above. Pipe Diameter 12 in D $0.79 \text{ ft}^2$ А Pipe flow area = $pi * D^2 / 4 =$ Roughness coefficient = 0.024 CMP n Hydraulic Radius = D/40.250 feet R s Slope = 5.12% Pipe from west borrow ditch to swale Qc Flow = $[1.49 / n * A * R^{2/3} * s^{0.5}]$ Qc Flow Capacity = 4.38 cfs **Qp** Peak Runoff 1.01 cfs See above Flow (Qc) > Peak Runoff (Qp)? YES E. Find Peak Runoff for Time of Concentration (100-yr event for retention basin)

In accordance with Section 3070.010, the detention system shall be designed for the 100-yr storm event.

Using the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) for the time of concentration storm event.

C Runoff Coefficient	0.52	See above
I Intensity	2.2 in/hr	See Appendix A.2 (100-yr, 20-min)
A Drainage Area	1.21 acres	See Page 6
Qp Peak Runoff = C*I*A	1.39 cfs	

#### F. Find Runoff Volume using Time of Concentration

The runoff is calculated using the triangular SCS unit hydrograph as outlined in Section 3070.010.E.

Qp Peak Runoff	1.39 cfs	For 100-yr, Tc storm
Tc Time of concentration	20 min	See above
V = 1/2 * Qp (2.67 *Tc * 60)	2,223 cf	

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061		
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21		ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	9		ENGINEERS
<b>G. Find</b> In a	Runoff Volume using 1-hr Event ccordance with Section 3070.010.D	, the volume of	the 60 minu	ite storm event shall	calculated.
Usir C I A Qp	ng the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) f Runoff Coefficient Intensity Drainage Area Peak Runoff = C*I*A	or the 60-min e 0.52 <u>1.0</u> in/ <u>1.21 ac</u> 0.63 cfs	event /hr S cres S s	See above See Appendix A.2 (10 See Page 6	)0-yr, 60-min)
The Qp Tc V = <b>H. Com</b> In ac or th	runoff is calculated using the triang Peak Runoff Time of concentration 1/2 * Qp (2.67 *Tc * 60) [ pare which Volume is Greater ccordance with Section 3070.040, b he 60-minute storm shall be used for	ular SCS unit h 0.63 cfs <u>60 m</u> 3,032 cf orrow ditches s r storage.	nydrograph a s S in S shall be desi	as outlined in Section See above See above gned to convey the p	3070.010.E. beak flow with
Vt V1	Volume (time of concentration) Volume (60 min)	2,223 cf 3,032 cf		See above See above	
Vd	Largest volume	3,032 cf	Т	his will be used for s	storage
<b>I. Check</b> Finc for v	<b>Velocity of Runoff in Borrow Dit</b> I the amount of drainage area and a velocity in borrow ditch	<b>ch using ope</b> n ssociate peak	<b>channel m</b> flow for the a	anning's equation areas to evaluate	
A A1	Drainage Area Area Percentage of Area	1.21 ac <mark>1.21</mark> ac 1.00	cres S cres	See Page 6	
Qp Q1	Peak flow in entire area Peak flow through STA 23+75	1.01 cfs 1.01 cfs Triangle	s S	See Section C above	
d Z n S Ø A R	Depth Side Slope Roughness coefficient (n) = Ditch Slope (S) = Angle ( $\Theta$ ) = arctan(d/ss) Area (A) = d <sup>2</sup> /tan $\Theta$ Hydraulic Radius (R) = (d*cos $\Theta$ )/2 Velocity = [(1 49/n)*A*R <sup>2/3</sup> *S <sup>1/2</sup> ]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.55 \\ 3 \\ 0.035 \\ 0.47\% \\ 18.43 \\ 0.91 \\ ft^{2} \\ 0.26 \\ ft \\ 1.19 \\ ft/{}$	A A S S S	Assumed Adjusted to Average of 2:1 on lot Assumed Slope of roadway	match peak flow and 4:1 on street
Qc Q1	Calculated Capacity = v*A Peak flow through STA 23+75 Flow (Qc) > Peak Runoff (Q)?	1.08 cfs 1.01 cfs YES	<u>s</u> s		. 20

#### J. Find Size of and Type of Culvert Needed in Front of Homes

Since the flowrate in the borrow ditch does not exceed 2.5 cfs, a standard 12" culvert in front of each home may be used.

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	10	ENGINEERS

#### 8. DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS FOR AREA #1B (NORTHWEST SIDE OF ARVALIS DR)

#### A. Find Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C)

It is assumed that the drainage area is covered by a mix of: pavement (C=0.95), landscaping (C=0.15), and rural residential lot (C=0.40). These will be used to generate a weighted runoff coefficient (C').

Surface	Area (sf)	%	С	A*C	1
Pavement	11,702	28%	0.95	0.27	7
Landscape	0	0%	0.15	0.00	7
Rural Residential Lot	30,208	72%	0.40	0.29	7
Total Area	41,910	100%	-	0.55	Weighted runoff coefficient
B. Find Time of Concentration					
1. Time of Saturation (Ts)					
Time of Saturation (Ts)	10	min		(see Section	n 3070.010.C)

#### 2. Sheet Flow Travel Time (Tsheet)

2. Onecci iow mayer mile (isneet)		
n Manning's roughness coefficient	0.24	See Table in 3070.010.C (dense grass)
L Flow Length	20 ft	Distance between gravity and borrow
I Rainfall Intensity	1 in/hr	See Section 3070.010.C
s Slope	0.020 ft/ft	Average slope of property
Time of sheet (Tsheet) =	$\frac{0.9333^{*}(n^{*}L)^{0.6}}{(1)^{0.4} s^{0.3}}$	
T(sheet)	2 min	
3. Pipe Flow Travel Time (Tpipe)		
L Length of pipe	<mark>0</mark> ft	
v Velocity in pipe	2 fps	Assumed (see Section 3070.010.C)
Tpipe = L/v	0 sec	
Тріре	0.0 min	
4. Open Channel Flow Travel Time (To	channel)	
Ss Stationing for start of channel	19+02 ft	High Point
Se Stationing for end of channel	11+99 ft	Low Point
L Length =  Ss-Se	703 ft	Absolute value of travel distance
v Velocity in channel	1.5 fps	Assumed (see Section 3070.010.C)
Tchannel = L/v	469 sec	
Tchannel	8 min	

#### 5. Find Time of Concentration (T<sub>c</sub>)

Time of concentration (Tc) is the sum of: the time of saturation; sheet flow travel time; pipe flow travel time; and open channel flow.

Tc = Ts + Tsheet + Tpipe + Tchannel

20 min

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	11	ENGINEERS

#### C. Find Peak Runoff for Time of Concentration (25-yr event for inlet, pipe sizing) In accordance with Section 3070.010, the primary conveyance system shall be designed for the 25-yr storm event, and secondary conveyance systems designed for the 100-yr storm event. Using the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) for the time of concentration storm event. C Runoff Coefficient 0.55 See above See Appendix A.2 (25-yr, 20-min) I Intensity 1.6 in/hr A Drainage Area See Page 6 0.96 acres Qp Peak Runoff = $C^{I*A}$ 0.85 cfs **D. Find Size of Culvert Needed** The size of the culvert entering the swale will be calculated using the peak discharge calculated above. Pipe Diameter 18 in D 1.77 ft<sup>2</sup> А Pipe flow area = $pi * D^2 / 4 =$ Roughness coefficient = 0.024 CMP n Hydraulic Radius = D/40.375 feet R s Slope = 2.40% Pipe under roadway Qc Flow = $[1.49 / n * A * R^{2/3} * s^{0.5}]$ Qc Flow Capacity = 8.84 cfs **Qp** Peak Runoff 0.85 cfs See above Flow (Qc) > Peak Runoff (Qp)? YES E. Find Peak Runoff for Time of Concentration (100-yr event for retention basin)

In accordance with Section 3070.010, the detention system shall be designed for the 100-yr storm event.

Using the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) for the time of concentration storm event.

С	Runoff Coefficient	0.55	See above
Ι	Intensity	2.2 in/hr	See Appendix A.2 (100-yr, 20-min)
А	Drainage Area	0.96 acres	See Page 6
Qp	Peak Runoff = C*I*A	1.17 cfs	

#### F. Find Runoff Volume using Time of Concentration

The runoff is calculated using the triangular SCS unit hydrograph as outlined in Section 3070.010.E.

Qp Peak Runoff	1.17 cfs
Tc Time of concentration	20 min
V = 1/2 * Qp (2.67 *Tc * 60)	1,891 cf

For 100-yr, Tc storm See above

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061		
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21		ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	12		ENGINEERS
<b>G. Find</b> In ac	Runoff Volume using 1-hr Event coordance with Section 3070.010.D,	, the volume of	the 60 minute	e storm event shall	calculated.
Usin C I A Qp	g the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) fo Runoff Coefficient Intensity Drainage Area Peak Runoff = C*I*A	or the 60-min e 0.55 1.0 in/ 0.96 ac 0.53 cfs	vent Se hr Se res Se	e above e Appendix A.2 (10 e Page 6	00-yr, 60-min)
The Qp Tc V =	runoff is calculated using the triang Peak Runoff Time of concentration 1/2 * Qp (2.67 *Tc * 60)	ular SCS unit h 0.53 cfs <u>60</u> mi 2,560 cf	ydrograph as s Se n Se	outlined in Section e above e above	3070.010.E.
H. Comp In ac or th	bare which Volume is Greater ccordance with Section 3070.040, be e 60-minute storm shall be used for	orrow ditches s r storage.	hall be desigr	ned to convey the p	peak flow with
Vt V1	Volume (time of concentration) Volume (60 min)	1,891 cf 2,560 cf	Se Se	e above e above	
Vd	Largest volume	2,560 cf	Th	is will be used for s	storage
<b>I. Check</b> Find for v	Velocity of Runoff in Borrow Dite the amount of drainage area and a elocity in borrow ditch	<b>ch using open</b> ssociate peak	flow for the ar	n <b>ning's equation</b> eas to evaluate	
A A1	Drainage Area Area Percentage of Area	0.96 ac 0.96 ac 1 00	res Se res	e Page 6	
Qp Q1	Peak flow in entire area Peak flow through STA 14+76	0.85 cfs 0.85 cfs 0.85 cfs Triangle	s Se	e Section C above	
d Z N S Ø A R	Depth Side Slope Roughness coefficient (n) = Ditch Slope (S) = Angle ( $\Theta$ ) = arctan(d/ss) Area (A) = d <sup>2</sup> /tan $\Theta$ Hydraulic Radius (R) = (d*cos $\Theta$ )/2	0.51 ft 3 0.035 0.47% 18.43 de 0.78 ft <sup>2</sup> 0.24 ft	As Av As Slo	sumed Adjusted to erage of 2:1 on lot sumed ope of roadway	match peak flow and 4:1 on street
v Qc Q1	Velocity = $[(1.49/n)*A*R^{2/3}*S^{1/2}]$ Calculated Capacity = v*A Peak flow through STA 14+76 Flow (Qc) > Peak Runoff (Q)?	1.13 ft/s 0.88 cfs 0.85 cfs YES	s Ve	locity less than 2?	YES

#### J. Find Size of and Type of Culvert Needed in Front of Homes

Since the flowrate in the borrow ditch does not exceed 2.5 cfs, a standard 12" culvert in front of each home may be used.

Project: Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number: 20061	
Subject: Drainage Report	Date: 07/23/21	
By: L. Warnick	Page: <u>13</u>	
7. SWALE SIZING FOR BASIN #1		

#### A. Find Runoff Volume (Vr) The runoff volume for the site will be calculated using the basins that flow into the swale. Basin #1A 3,032 cf See Section 5 of drainage report Basin #1B See Section 6 of drainage report 2,560 cf Vr Runoff Volume (sum of basins) 5,592 cf B. Increase Runoff by 15% to Find Required Storage Volume Vr Runoff Volume 5,592 Vs Required Storage = Vr \* 1.15 6,430 cf C. Find Storage Capacity in Swale Ab Bottom Area of Swale 2,295 sf See Plan Aw Water Area @ Design Depth 4,343 sf See Plan Dw Design Water Depth See Plan 2.00 ft Va Storage Volume = Dw / 3 [Ab + (Ab \* Aw)^0.5 + Aw] Va Available Storage Volume (Va) 6,530 cf Swale #1 D. Check in Available Storage in Swale is Greater Than Required Storage Va Available Storage Volume 6,530 cf V Required Storage Volume 6,430 cf Available > Required? YES E. Find Time for Runoff to Infiltrate into Subsurface Vr Runoff Volume = Q\*t 5,592 cf Sand infiltration window Ap Percolation Area = 2,295 sf r Percolation Rate 2 in/hr See soils report 15 hr t Time to Percolate = Vr/(Ap\*r/12)Percolation Time < 24 hr? OK

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	14	ENGINEERS

#### 8. DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS FOR AREA #1A (SOUTHEAST SIDE OF ARVALIS DR)

#### A. Find Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C)

1. Time of Saturation (Ts)

It is assumed that the drainage area is covered by a mix of: pavement (C=0.95), landscaping (C=0.15), and rural residential lot (C=0.40). These will be used to generate a weighted runoff coefficient (C').

Surface	Area (sf)	%	С	A*C	
Pavement	23,792	26%	0.95	0.25	
Landscape	0	0%	0.15	0.00	
Rural Residential Lot	66,570	74%	0.40	0.29	
Total Area	90,362	100%	-	0.54	Weighted runoff coefficient

Time of Saturation (Ts)	10 min	(see Section 3070.010.C)
2. Sheet Flow Travel Time (Tsheet)		
n Manning's roughness coefficient	0.24	See Table in 3070.010.C (dense grass)
L Flow Length	20 ft	Distance between gravity and borrow
I Rainfall Intensity	1 in/hr	See Section 3070.010.C
s Slope	0.025 ft/ft	Average slope of property
Time of sheet (Tsheet) =	0.9333*(n*L) <sup>0.6</sup>	
	$(I)^{0.4} s^{0.3}$	
T(sheet)	2 min	
3. Pipe Flow Travel Time (Tpipe)		
L Length of pipe	0 ft	
v Velocity in pipe	2 fps	Assumed (see Section 3070.010.C)
Tpipe = L/v	0 sec	
Тріре	0.0 min	
4. Open Channel Flow Travel Time (T	channel)	
Ss Stationing for start of channel	34+28 ft	High Point
Se Stationing for end of channel	23+00 ft	Low Point
L Length =  Ss-Se	1128 ft	Absolute value of travel distance
v Velocity in channel	1.5 fps	Assumed (see Section 3070.010.C)
Tchannel = L/v	752 sec	
Tchannel	13 min	
5. Find Time of Concentration (T <sub>c</sub> )		
Time of concentration (Tc) is the sum	of: the time of saturatio	n; sheet flow travel time; pipe flow travel

time; and open channel flow.

Tc = Ts + Tsheet + Tpipe + Tchannel

25 min

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	15	ENGINEERS

#### C. Find Peak Runoff for Time of Concentration (25-yr event for inlet, pipe sizing) In accordance with Section 3070.010, the primary conveyance system shall be designed for the 25-yr storm event, and secondary conveyance systems designed for the 100-yr storm event. Using the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) for the time of concentration storm event. C Runoff Coefficient 0.54 See above See Appendix A.2 (25-yr, 25-min) I Intensity 1.4 in/hr A Drainage Area See Page 6 2.07 acres Qp Peak Runoff = $C^{I*A}$ 1.58 cfs **D. Find Size of Culvert Needed** The size of the culvert entering the swale will be calculated using the peak discharge calculated above. Pipe Diameter 12 in D $0.79 \text{ ft}^2$ А Pipe flow area = $pi * D^2 / 4 =$ Roughness coefficient = 0.024 CMP n Hydraulic Radius = D/40.250 feet R s Slope = 8.20% Pipe from west borrow ditch to swale Qc Flow = $[1.49 / n * A * R^{2/3} * s^{0.5}]$ Qc Flow Capacity = 5.54 cfs **Qp** Peak Runoff 1.58 cfs See above Flow (Qc) > Peak Runoff (Qp)? YES E. Find Peak Runoff for Time of Concentration (100-yr event for retention basin)

In accordance with Section 3070.010, the detention system shall be designed for the 100-yr storm event.

Using the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) for the time of concentration storm event.

C Runoff Coefficient	0.54	See above
I Intensity	1.9 in/hr	See Appendix A.2 (100-yr, 25-min)
A Drainage Area	2.07 acres	See Page 6
Qp Peak Runoff = C*I*A	2.15 cfs	

#### F. Find Runoff Volume using Time of Concentration

The runoff is calculated using the triangular SCS unit hydrograph as outlined in Section 3070.010.E.

Qp Peak Runoff	2.15 cfs
Tc Time of concentration	25 min
V = 1/2 * Qp (2.67 *Tc * 60)	4,251 cf

For 100-yr, Tc storm See above

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061		
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21		ASPEN
Bv:	l Warnick	Page:	16		ENGINEERS
by.					
<b>G. Find</b> In a	Runoff Volume using 1-hr Event ccordance with Section 3070.010.D,	the volume of t	he 60 minute	storm event shall	calculated.
Usir C I A Qp	ng the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) fo Runoff Coefficient Intensity Drainage Area Peak Runoff = C*I*A	or the 60-min ev 0.54 <u>1.0</u> in/r <u>2.07 acr</u> 1.13 cfs	vent See nr See es See	e above e Appendix A.2 (10 e Page 6	)0-yr, 60-min)
The Qp Tc V =	runoff is calculated using the triangu Peak Runoff Time of concentration 1/2 * Qp (2.67 *Tc * 60)	Ilar SCS unit hy 1.13 cfs <u>60</u> mir 5,432 cf	/drograph as ( See See	outlined in Section above above	3070.010.E.
H. Com In a or th	pare which Volume is Greater ccordance with Section 3070.040, bo ne 60-minute storm shall be used for	prrow ditches sl storage.	nall be design	ed to convey the p	eak flow with
Vt V1	Volume (time of concentration) Volume (60 min)	4,251 cf 5,432 cf	See See	e above e above	
Vd	Largest volume	5,432 cf	Thi	s will be used for s	storage
I. Check Finc for v	<b>Velocity of Runoff in Borrow Ditc</b> I the amount of drainage area and as velocity in borrow ditch	t <b>h using open</b> ssociate peak fl	<b>channel mar</b> ow for the are	ining's equation eas to evaluate	
A A1	Drainage Area Area Percentage of Area	2.07 acr <mark>2.07</mark> acr 1.00	es See es	∋ Page 6	
Qp Q1	Peak flow in entire area Peak flow through STA 23+75	1.58 cfs 1.58 cfs Triangle	See	Section C above	
d Z n S Ø A R	Depth Side Slope Roughness coefficient (n) = Ditch Slope (S) = Angle ( $\Theta$ ) = arctan(d/ss) Area (A) = d <sup>2</sup> /tan $\Theta$ Hydraulic Radius (R) = (d*cos $\Theta$ )/2_	0.66 ft 3 0.035 0.40% 18.43 deg 1.31 ft <sup>2</sup> 0.31 ft	Ass Ave Ass Slo grees	sumed Adjusted to erage of 2:1 on lot sumed pe of roadway	match peak flow and 4:1 on street
v Qc Q1	Velocity = $[(1.49/n)^*A^*R^{2/3}*S^{1/2}]$ Calculated Capacity = v*A Peak flow through STA 23+75 Flow (Qc) > Peak Runoff (Q)?	1.24 ft/s 1.62 cfs 1.58 cfs YES	Vel	ocity less than 2?	YES

#### J. Find Size of and Type of Culvert Needed in Front of Homes

Since the flowrate in the borrow ditch does not exceed 2.5 cfs, a standard 12" culvert in front of each home may be used.

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	17	ENGINEERS

#### 9. DRAINAGE CALCULATIONS FOR AREA #2B (SOUTHWEST SIDE OF ARVALIS DR)

#### A. Find Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C)

It is assumed that the drainage area is covered by a mix of: pavement (C=0.95), landscaping (C=0.15), and rural residential lot (C=0.40). These will be used to generate a weighted runoff coefficient (C').

Surface	Area (sf)	%	С	A*C	
Pavement	23,959	28%	0.95	0.27	
Landscape	0	0%	0.15	0.00	7
Rural Residential Lot	61,657	72%	0.40	0.29	7
Total Area	85,616	100%	-	0.55	Weighted runoff coefficient

#### **B. Find Time of Concentration**

1. Time of Saturation (Ts) Time of Saturation (Ts)	10 min	(see Section 3070.010.C)
2. Sheet Flow Travel Time (Tsheet)		
n Manning's roughness coefficient	0.24	See Table in 3070.010.C (dense grass)
L Flow Length	<mark>20</mark> ft	Distance between gravity and borrow
I Rainfall Intensity	1 in/hr	See Section 3070.010.C
s Slope	0.020 ft/ft	Average slope of property
Time of sheet (Tsheet) =	0.9333*(n*L) <sup>0.6</sup>	
T(aboat)	$(I)^{0.4*}S^{0.3}$	
T (sneet)	2 min	
3. Pipe Flow Travel Time (Tpipe)		
L Length of pipe	0 ft	
v Velocity in pipe	2 fps	Assumed (see Section 3070.010.C)
Tpipe = L/v	0 sec	
Тріре	0.0 min	
4. Open Channel Flow Travel Time (T	channel)	
Ss Stationing for start of channel	34+28 ft	High Point
Se Stationing for end of channel	23+00 ft	Low Point
L1 Length =  Ss-Se	1128 ft	Absolute value of travel distance
v Velocity in channel	1.5 fps	Assumed (see Section 3070.010.C)
Tchannel = L/v	752 sec	
Tchannel	13 min	
5. Find Time of Concentration (T <sub>c</sub> )		

Time of concentration (Tc) is the sum of: the time of saturation; sheet flow travel time; pipe flow travel time; and open channel flow.

Tc = Ts + Tsheet + Tpipe + Tchannel 25 min

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	18	ENGINEERS

#### C. Find Peak Runoff for Time of Concentration (25-yr event for inlet, pipe sizing) In accordance with Section 3070.010, the primary conveyance system shall be designed for the 25-yr storm event, and secondary conveyance systems designed for the 100-yr storm event. Using the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) for the time of concentration storm event. C Runoff Coefficient 0.55 See above See Appendix A.2 (25-yr, 25-min) I Intensity 1.4 in/hr A Drainage Area See Page 6 1.97 acres Qp Peak Runoff = $C^{I*A}$ 1.52 cfs **D. Find Size of Culvert Needed** The size of the culvert entering the swale will be calculated using the peak discharge calculated above. Pipe Diameter 18 in D $1.77 \text{ ft}^2$ А Pipe flow area = $pi * D^2 / 4 =$ Roughness coefficient = 0.024 CMP n Hydraulic Radius = D/40.375 feet R s Slope = 2.84% Pipe under roadway Qc Flow = $[1.49 / n * A * R^{2/3} * s^{0.5}]$ Qc Flow Capacity = 9.61 cfs **Qp** Peak Runoff 1.52 cfs See above Flow (Qc) > Peak Runoff (Qp)? YES E. Find Peak Runoff for Time of Concentration (100-yr event for retention basin)

In accordance with Section 3070.010, the detention system shall be designed for the 100-yr storm event.

Using the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) for the time of concentration storm event.

C Runoff Coefficient	0.55	See above
I Intensity	1.9 in/hr	See Appendix A.2 (100-yr, 25-min)
A Drainage Area	1.97 acres	See Page 6
Qp Peak Runoff = C*I*A	2.07 cfs	

#### F. Find Runoff Volume using Time of Concentration

The runoff is calculated using the triangular SCS unit hydrograph as outlined in Section 3070.010.E.

Qp Peak Runoff	2.07 cfs	
Tc Time of concentration	25 min	
V = 1/2 * Qp (2.67 *Tc * 60)	4,120 cf	

For 100-yr, Tc storm See above

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
Bv:	l Warnick	Page:	19	ENGINEERS
by.		_ 1 age		
<b>G. Find</b> In a	Runoff Volume using 1-hr Event ccordance with Section 3070.010.D,	the volume of th	ne 60 minute storm event sha	ll calculated.
Usir C I A Qp	ng the Rational Method (Qp = CIA) for Runoff Coefficient Intensity Drainage Area Peak Runoff = C*I*A	or the 60-min eve 0.55 <u>1.0</u> in/hr <u>1.97 acre</u> <u>1.09 cfs</u>	ent See above r See Appendix A.2 (1 es See Page 6	100-yr, 60-min)
The Qp Tc V =	runoff is calculated using the triangu Peak Runoff Time of concentration 1/2 * Qp (2.67 *Tc * 60)	llar SCS unit hyd 1.09 cfs <u>60</u> min 5,232 cf	drograph as outlined in Sectio See above See above	ุ่ภ 3070.010.E.
H. Com In a or th	pare which Volume is Greater ccordance with Section 3070.040, bo ne 60-minute storm shall be used for	prrow ditches sha storage.	all be designed to convey the	peak flow with
Vt V1	Volume (time of concentration) Volume (60 min)	4,120 cf 5,232 cf	See above See above	
Vd	Largest volume	5,232 cf	This will be used for	storage
I. Check Finc for v	<b>Velocity of Runoff in Borrow Ditc</b> I the amount of drainage area and as velocity in borrow ditch	<b>h using open c</b> ssociate peak flo	channel manning's equation ow for the areas to evaluate	1
A A1	Drainage Area Area Percentage of Area	1.97 acre <mark>1.97</mark> acre 1.00	es See Page 6	
Qp Q1	Peak flow in entire area Peak flow through STA 14+76	1.52 cfs 1.52 cfs Triangle	See Section C abov	e
d Z n S Ø A R	Depth Side Slope Roughness coefficient (n) = Ditch Slope (S) = Angle ( $\Theta$ ) = arctan(d/ss) Area (A) = d <sup>2</sup> /tan $\Theta$ Hydraulic Radius (R) = (d*cos $\Theta$ )/2	0.65 ft 3 0.035 0.40% 18.43 degr 1.27 ft <sup>2</sup> 0.31 ft	Assumed Adjusted t Average of 2:1 on lo Assumed Slope of roadway rees	to match peak flow ti and 4:1 on street
v Qc Q1	Velocity = $[(1.49/n)^*A^*R^{2/3}*S^{1/2}]$ Calculated Capacity = v*A Peak flow through STA 14+76 Flow (Qc) > Peak Runoff (Q)?	1.23         ft/s           1.56         cfs           1.52         cfs           YES         Cfs	Velocity less than 2?	? YES

#### J. Find Size of and Type of Culvert Needed in Front of Homes

Since the flowrate in the borrow ditch does not exceed 2.5 cfs, a standard 12" culvert in front of each home may be used.

Project:	Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 3	Number:	20061	
Subject:	Drainage Report	Date:	07/23/21	ASPEN
By:	L. Warnick	Page:	20	ENGINEERS

#### 10. SWALE SIZING FOR BASIN #2

A. Find Runoff Volume (Vr)								
The runoff volume for the site will be o	The runoff volume for the site will be calculated using the basins that flow into the swale.							
Basin #2A	5,432 cf	See Section 8 of drainage report						
Basin #2B	5,232 cf	See Section 9 of drainage report						
Vr Runoff Volume (sum of basins)	10,664 cf							
B. Increase Runoff by 15% to Find Req	uired Storage Volume							
Vr Runoff Volume	10,664							
Vs Required Storage = Vr * 1.15	12,264 cf							
C. Find Storage Capacity in Swale								
Ab Bottom Area of Swale	3,770 sf	See Plan						
Aw Water Area @ Design Depth	7,441 sf	See Plan						
Dw Design Water Depth	2.50 ft	See Plan						
Va Storage Volume = Dw / 3 [Ab + (A	.b * Aw)^0.5 + Aw]							
Va Available Storage Volume (Va)	13,756 cf	Swale #4						
D. Check in Available Storage in Swale	is Greater Than Requir	ed Storage						
Va Available Storage Volume	13 756 cf							
V Required Storage Volume	12 264 cf							
Available > Required?	YES							
E. Find Time for Runoff to Infiltrate into	o Subsurface							
Vr Runoff Volume = Q*t	10,664 cf							
Ap Percolation Area =	3,770 sf	Sand infiltration window						
r Percolation Rate	2 in/hr	See soils report						
		·						
t Time to Percolate = $Vr/(Ap*r/12)$	17 hr							
Develotion Time								



APPENDIX A

RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS AND RAINFALL INTENSITY

D. Peak Runoff - The peak runoff rate  $(Q_p)$  when determined by the Rational Method shall use the following equation and coefficients:

Q<sub>p</sub> = C I A Where: Q<sub>p</sub> = Peak Runoff Rate (cubic feet per second) C = Runoff Coefficient (See Table) I = Rainfall Intensity (inches per hour) A = Tributary Area (Acres)

The Runoff Coefficient shall be selected from the following table for the appropriate surface type. If more than one surface type is present within the drainage area, a composite Runoff Coefficient shall be determined based on the individual area and coefficient of each surface type.

Rational Method Rahon Coefficients				
Surface Description	С			
Pavement				
Asphalt and Concrete	0.95			
Brick	0.85			
Roofs	0.95			
Lawns, Sandy Soil				
Flat (<2%)	0.10			
Average (2% to 7%)	0.15			
Steep (>7%)	0.20			
Lawns, Heavy Soil				
Flat (<2%)	0.17			
Average (2% to 7%)	0.22			
Steep (>7%)	0.35			

**Rational Method Runoff Coefficients** 

Table adapted from ACSE Design and Construction of Urban Stormwater Management Systems.

The intensity shall be determined from the Idaho Transportation Department's Intensity-Duration-Frequency Curves for Zone A based on the time of concentration (duration) and frequency (return period).



Figure 456. A.2

Sheet 1 of 9



**APPENDIX B** 

**OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FORMS** 

#### **Inspection Cover Sheet**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Facility Name:
Facility Address:
Facility Owner:
have ster News
Inspector Name:
Inspector Phone Number:

#### **Important Safety Information**

- Never enter a confined space or trench unless you have proper Occupational Health and Safety (OSHA)training. Do not enter any confined space unless the atmosphere has been checked and proper safety equipment is worn or erected.
- Check the ventilation in the storm water system before using ignitable materials. Some storm water systems have poor ventilation and can pose a safety risk to the inspector if the vapor comes in contact with an open flame.
- Always cover or clearly mark excavated areas as potential safety risks if the areas cannot be filled in by the end of a work day.

Inspection c	omments:
--------------	----------

#### **Maintenance Report Form**

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Facility Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Facility Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Person Overseeing Maintenance:

Type of System: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Last Inspection: \_\_\_\_\_

Describe maintenance activities, including type of work, completion dates, contractors, time needed to complete task, and cost.


#### **OM-2 Infiltration**

Stormwater system feature	1	Are any of these conditions present?	Problem	Recommendation
General		standing water is present 24 hours after storm event	sediment buildup on bottom or sides of infiltration system	Excavate infiltration system and remove excess sediment. Dispose of sediment properly. An engineer or geotechnical consultant should examine drainrock and filter fabric to determine if replacement is needed. Re-install infiltration system 12" into free draining material.
		standing water is present 24 hours after storm event		
			infiltration system incorrectly constructed	Excavate infiltration system and re-install infiltration system 12" into free draining material.
				If good free draining material is not accessible, contact the design engineer to see if a more appropriate drainage system can be installed.
		offensive odor, color, or sludge is present	unknown or uncharacteristic substance	Remove substance and eliminate its source. If you do not know if the substance is hazardous, either take a sample or contact a qualified hazardous waste consultant for more information.
		propane, oil, or gasoline odor or puddle is present	accumulation of petroleum products	Contact a qualified hazardous waste consultant for information on proper treatment and disposal of petroleum products.
		excessive debris, sediment, and oil buildup is present	pretreatment system not working properly	Clean out accumulated debris in pretreatment system and dispose of properly
Inlet/outlet pipes		standing water is present 24 hours after storm event	clogged pipes	Clean out sediment and debris from pipes. See OM-10, Pipes, for more information

#### **OM-10** Pipes

Stormwater system feature	1	Are any of these conditions present?	Problem	Recommendation
General		accumulated sediment or	excess accumulation of	Clean out sediment and trash from pipe. You can use a high pressure hose, vacuum suction, or other appropriate cleaning method.
	vegetation is ov impeding water flow	sediment or trash	Contact the design engineer for information on appropriate cleaning methods for your type of drainage system.	
		vegetation is		Clean out sediment and trash from pipe. You can use a high pressure hose, vacuum suction, or other appropriate cleaning method.
		impeding water flow	overgrown vegetation	Contact the design engineer for infomation on appropriate cleaning methods for your type of drainage system.
		pipe is rusted; protected coating is damaged	corroded pipe	Replace or repair pipe to original design specifications.
		dent in pipe has reduced the pipe diameter by 20%; water flow is impeded; pipe is broken	defective pipe	Replace or repair pipe to original design specifications.
		water is leaking from pipe	cracked pipe	Replace or repair pipe to original design specifications.



SOILS REPORT





Consulting, Soil Evaluations & Data Collection

March 23, 2021

HARLEY R. NOE Phone: 208.850.4926 Fax: 208.939-8602

Lance Warnick, PE Aspen Engineers 1619 N. Linder Road Suite 110 Kuna, ID 83634

## **RE:** Soil evaluation for storm water facilities

Today I observed soils at two locations on the Red Tail #3 project at 3901 and 4001 East Lewis Lane in Nampa. Attached are detailed profile descriptions of those test pits and a Google Earth based map showing the location of the excavations. You requested soil conditions present and suitability of the materials for stormwater systems.

## SOIL CONDITIONS

The soils in both holes have moderately fine silty clay loams and loams in the surface layer 12 to 23 inches deep. Silt loams, loams and fine sandy loams are present in the subsoil from 3.5 to 4.5 feet below ground level. Test pit 4-21 has silt loams to the bottom of the excavation depth at 13 feet. At test pit 14-21 a moderately cemented hardpan was observed between 51 and 71 inches deep which dug with some resistance. Below 71 inches to 109 inches was a second, weaker hardpan that could be broken with the hands and fingers. Silt loams and sandy loams are present in the deep substratum below 65 an 109 inches in the two test pits respectively.

## STORMWATER SYSTEM

Permeability rates are provided for each horizon shown on the descriptions. Beneath the topsoil and throughout the profile, permeability rates are mostly between 1 to 4 inches per hour. The hardpan in test pit 14-21 has restricted permeability. That layer should be broken out and either removed or replaced back in the trench. Other than the pan layer, all soils are free-drained. It appears that the deep substratum loams and silt loams will be the most likely receiving soil for storm drainage swales or seepage beds. If more rapid permeability is needed, all soils above 8 feet should be removed and replaced with filter sand.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

These soils should perform well for the projected use. As requested I collected 4 buckets of the 12 to 23 inch layer which will be delivered to the CMT Lab for R-value and compaction testing. I would predict a rather low R-value, possibly in the 10 to 15 range or less. The only profile restriction is the hardpan in the 14-21 test pit. Depending on variation of soils across the landscape that restriction can be removed if it appears to be a problem. These hardpans are moderately expressed, but dig without difficulty with larger excavating equipment.

Should you have questions or need anything additional, please contact me.

transmitted via e-mail

HARLEY R. NOE Professional Soil Scientist

cc w/attachments: Audrey Corsberg, Corsberg Land, LLC, 3901 E. Lewis Lane, Nampa, ID 83686

# Natural Resource Solutions, LLC

#### Storm Drain Test Hole Description & Evaluation

Date Of Evaluation:	3/23/2021	Evaluated by:	Harley Noe, Pro	ofessional Soil Scientist	
Requested By:	Corsberg Land, LLC	(Audrey Corsberg)			
Address: 3901 E. Lev	wis Lane			Phone:	(208) 250-9809
City:	Nampa	State:	Idaho	Zip:	83686
Legal Desc:	part of the west 1/2 c	of the NE 1/4 Section 13,	Township 2 North,	Range 2 West, Boise M	eridian
	Canyon County, Idał	10			
General Desc:	1/2 mile west of Happ	by Valley Road to south.			

Depth (inches)	Moist Munsell Color	USDA Texture	Clay %	Roots	Mottles	Est. Permeability (in/hr)	Comments
Hole Number & Location:			TP4-21	43.51713	6 latitud	e; -116.52	0599 longitude
0 to 12	10YR 3/3	silt loam	24 to 26	fine; common medium	none	0.5 to 1	friable moist; approaches silty clay Ioam
12 to 24	10YR 5/4	loam	10 to 12	common very fine, fine & medium	none	1 to 2	very friable moist; weak subangular blocky structure
24 to 46	10YR 6/4	loam	10 to 12	few very fine & fine	none	1 to 2	very friable moist; approaches fine sandy loam
46 to 65	10YR 4/4	silt loam	13 to 15 few very fine		none	1 to 2	approaches loam; 20% 3" diameter rounded basalt fragments
65 to 156+	56+ 7.5YR 5/3 silt loam 17 to 18		none	none	1 to 2	40% 3" to 12" basalt fragments	
General N	General Notes: Slope 1 to 3 percent. There are pockets in the bottom horizon that are 3 feet in diameter that						that are 3 feet in diameter that
do not have basalt fragments. All layers below 46 inches are very dry and loose and act like flour. No wetness features							
present in profile to more than 13 feet.							

Hole Nun	nber & Loo	cation:	TP14-2	1 43.51389	1 latitud	e; -116.51	9833 longitude
0 to 13	10YR 4/3	silty clay loam	28 to 30	many very fine & fine; few medium	none	0.2 to 0.6	friable moist; strong, fine granular structure
13 to 23	10YR 4/4	silt loam	18 to 20	common very fine & fine	none	1 to 2	subangular blocky structure; approaches fine & very fine sandy loam
23 to 40	10YR 5/4	fine sandy loam	12 to 14	few very fine & fine	none	2 to 4	moderately dense in place; friable moist
40 to 57	10YR 3/4	sandy loam	12 to 14	few very fine & fine	none	2 to 6	moderately dense in place; firm moist
57 to 71	10YR 6/2	moderately cemented hardpan	na	none	none	0.5 to 1	cannot be broken with the hands; fractured
71 to 109	10YR 4/3	weakly cemented hardpan	na	none	none	1 to 2	breaks and crumbles with fingers
109 to 156+	10YR 4/3	sandy loam	16 to 18	none	none	2 to 4	slightly dense in place; loose when broken
General N	General Notes: Slope 0 to 2 percent. No wetness features present in the profile. Fine sands are dominant					e. Fine sands are dominant	
size. All la	size. All layers are free drained. Samples were taken from the 13 to 23 layer and submitted to CMT Labs for R-value and						
Due also de							

Proctor testing.





APPENDIX D

**R-VALUE TESTING** 

P:\2020\20061\Documents\Drainage Report\RevA\1. Drainage Narrative 20061.docx

American Geotechnics 5260 Chinden Blvd. Boise, Idaho 83714 Phone:(208) 658-8700 Fax: (208) 658-8703



Report To: CMT Engineering Laboratories Project: Red tail #3 Project No.: 00783.343 Sample ID: Onsite Soil Description: Sandy Silt (ML)

R-VALUE

Report Date: 3/29/2021 Date Sampled: March 2021 Date Received: 3/18/2021 Tested By: TT Lab Number: 21-0127

	Point 1	Point 2	Point 3
Drainage Description	Slight	Slight	Slight
Dry Density, PCF	95.0	95.5	95.9
Moisture Content, %	25.1	24.1	23.3
Exudation, PSI	88	160	289
R-Value (Corrected)	25	52	61
Expansion, PSI	0.00	0.40	1.08



R-Value @ 200 PSI Exudation Pressure

56

Gradation: AASHTO T-11, T-27						
Screen	% Passing	% Passing				
Sizes	As Received	As Tested				
4"						
3"						
2"						
1"						
3/4"						
1/2"						
3/8"						
No. 4	100	100				
No. 8						
No. 16						
No. 30						
No. 50						
No. 100						
No. 200						

\* This report covers only material as represented by this sample and

does not necessarily cover all soils from this layer or source.

Reviewed By: Holly Lockett

# **CIVIL IMPROVEMENT DRAWINGS FOR RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 3** LOCATED IN A PORTION OF THE W 1/2 OF THE NE 1/4



OF SECTION 13, T.2N, R.2W, BOISE MERIDIAN

CITY OF NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO

- ZONE WITHIN THE PUBLI

## DATUM AND BENCHMARKS (SEE TOPOGRAPHIC MAP) 1. THE VERTICAL DATUM IS NAVD 88.

2. CONTACT COMPASS LAND SURVEYING FOR MARKING AND REFERENCE INFORMATION 208-442-0115.

# STORM DRAIN CONSTRUCTION NOTES

- 1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT THE ENGINEER OF RECORD AT 208-466-8181 FOR OBSERVATION OF THE STORM DRAIN FACILITIES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. MINIMUM 48 HOUR NOTICE REQUIRED.
- 2. NOTIFY ENGINEER FOR OBSERVATION OF BOTTOM OF FACILITY PRIOR TO ANY BACKFILL. APPROVAL IS CONTINGENT UPON OBSERVATION.
- 3. MINIMUM SEPARATION FROM THE BOTTOM OF THE FACILITY TO SEASONAL HIGH GROUND WATER SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 3'. CONTACT ENGINEER FOR REVISED DRAINAGE FACILITY DESIGN IF BOTTOM OF FACILITY IS WITHIN 3' OF SEASONAL HIGH GROUNDWATER ELEVATION.
- 4. FACILITIES ARE DESIGNED TO RETAIN THE 100-YR, tC TO 24 HOUR STORM WITH PERCOLATION.
- 5. FACILITIES ARE DESIGNED TO RETAIN THE LARGEST STORAGE VOLUME CALCULATED FOR THE 100-YR STORM EVENT WITH DURATIONS RANGING FROM THE TIME OF CONCENTRATION tC (10 MINS) TO 24 HOURS AND ALLOWING FOR INFILTRATION.
- STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES OUTSIDE THE PUBIC RIGHT-OF-WAY SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION OR PROPERTY OWNER ON WHICH THE STORM DRAINAGE FACILITY IS CONSTRUCTED IF NO HOMEOWNER'S ASSOCIATION EXISTS. RESPONSIBILITY FOR STORM DRAINAGE FACILITIES INCLUDES ALL MAINTENANCE BOTH ROUTINE AND NON-ROUTINE

# SITE INFORMATION

PROJECT:	RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION SUBDIVISION NO. 3
ADDRESS:	3901 & 4001 E. LEWIS LN NAMPA, IDAHO 83686
PARCEL NO:	R295330000 & R295331050
PARCEL SIZE:	77.63± ACRES
LEGAL:	LOCATED IN THE PORTION OF THE W 1/2 OF THE NE 1/4

NAMPA, CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO

# ENGINEER OF RECORD INFORMATION

ASPEN ENGINEERS, CHARTERED 1619 N. LINDER RD, SUITE 110 KUNA, IDAHO 83651

CONTACT: LANCE WARNICK, PE 208-466-8181 lance@AspenEngineers.com





2000

SCALE (FT



1.	CIVIL NOTES AND LEGEND SHEET	C1.1
2.	CIVIL DEMOLITION PLAN	C2.1
3.	STORM DRAIN PLAN (1 OF 2)	C3.1
4.	STORM DRAIN PLAN (2 OF 2)	C3.2
5.	STREET PLAN AND PROFILE (1 OF 3)	C4.1
6.	STREET PLAN AND PROFILE (2 OF 3)	C4.2
7.	STREET PLAN AND PROFILE (3 OF 3)	C4.3
8.	STREET AND STORM DRAIN DETAILS	C5.1
9.	GRAVITY IRRIGATION PLAN (1 OF 4)	C6.1
10.	GRAVITY IRRIGATION PLAN (2 OF 4)	C6.2
11.	GRAVITY IRRIGATION PLAN (3 OF 4)	C6.3
12.	GRAVITY IRRIGATION PLAN (4 OF 4)	C6.4
13.	LOW PRESSURE IRRIGATION PLAN (1 OF 4)	C6.5
14.	LOW PRESSURE IRRIGATION PLAN (2 OF 4)	C6.6
15.	LOW PRESSURE IRRIGATION PLAN (3 OF 4)	C6.7
16.	LOW PRESSURE IRRIGATION PLAN (4 OF 4)	C6.8
17.	IRRIGATION DETAILS (1 OF 2)	
18.	IRRIGATION DETAILS (2 OF 2)	C7.2

AND LEGEND SHEET



![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

NOTES

- 1. SEE SHEET C1.1 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES AND LEGEND.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL SURVEY MONUMENTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. ANY MONUMENT DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL BE REPLACED BY A PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR AT THE EXPENSE OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 3. ADD 2500' TO SITE ELEVATIONS TO OBTAIN THE PROJECT DATUM.
- 4. EXISTING CONTOUR LINES ARE SHOWN AT AT INTERVAL OF 1'.
- FINISHED CONTOUR LINES ARE SHOWN AT AN INTERVAL OF 1'.5. SEE SHEETS C4.1 TO C4.3 FOR STREET PLAN AND PROFILE.
- 6. SEE SHEET C5.1 FOR STREET DETAILS.
- 7. ABANDONED TEST PITS, STORM DRAINS OR ANY OTHER DISTURBED EXCAVATION LOCATED UNDER THE PROPOSED STREET SHALL BE RE-EXCAVATED TO NATIVE SOIL AND BACKFILLED WITH STRUCTURAL FILL PER ISPWC SPECIFICATIONS. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SOILS DATA TO VERIFY NATIVE MATERIAL OR ANY SOURCE USED FOR BACKFILL MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF ENGINEERED FILL PER ISPWC AND PROVIDE A COPY OF ALL COMPACTION TESTS TO THE CITY.
- 8. CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE AND DISPOSE (OR RELOCATE AS NEEDED) ALL SITE FEATURES THAT CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS.
- ALL CULVERTS SHALL BE: 14 GAGE (0.079" THICK) ALUMINIZED, POLYMER COATED OR TRENCH COATED STEEL WITH 2-2/3"x1/2" CORRUGATIONS; OR CLASS V REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE PER ACCHD STANDARD 3070.020.
- 10. THIS SITE HAS GRAVITY IRRIGATION DITCHES ALONG NEARLY THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF BOTH SIDES OF THE PROPOSED ROAD WHICH WILL LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM THE SUBDIVISION LOTS THAT WILL MAKE IT TO THE PROPOSED SWALES. SEE SHEETS C6.1 TO C6.4 FOR GRAVITY IRRIGATION PLAN.

# 

- D1. 6 x12 HAND PLACED 8" DIA RIP RAP OVER NON-WOVEN GEOTEXTILE AT THE END OF THE PIPE TO HELP REDUCE POTENTIAL FOR EROSION, SET TOP OF RIP RAP FLUSH WITH BOTTOM OF SWALE, QTY 2.
- D2. SWALE #1 DESIGN CAPACITY: 6,530 CF± TOP OF SWALE ELEV: 67.9±
- DESIGN WATER ELEV: 66.9± (WATER AREA: 4,343 SF) BOTTOM OF SWALE: 64.9± (BOTTOM AREA: 2,295 SF) (SEE DETAIL B/C5.1)
- D3. 27'x85' SAND INFILTRATION WINDOW #1 EXCAVATE TO WELL DRAINING SOIL (ANTICIPATED TO BE 8' BELOW EXISTING GRADE OR DEEPER IF DETERMINED BY ENGINEER) AND BACKFILL WITH 1.5' OF FILTER SAND OVER PIT RUN (SEE DETAIL B/C5.1).
- D4. SWALE #2 DESIGN CAPACITY: 13,786 CF± TOP OF SWALE ELEV: 72.4± DESIGN WATER ELEV: 71.4± (WATER AREA: 7,441 SF) BOTTOM OF SWALE: 68.9± (BOTTOM AREA: 3,770 SF) (SEE DETAIL B/C5.1)
- D5. 27'x141' SAND INFILTRATION WINDOW #2 EXCAVATE TO WELL DRAINING SOIL (ANTICIPATED TO BE 8' BELOW EXISTING GRADE OR DEEPER IF DETERMINED BY ENGINEER) AND BACKFILL WITH 1.5' OF FILTER SAND OVER PIT RUN (SEE DETAIL B/C5.1).
- D6. 4" DIA GROUNDWATER OBSERVATION WELL PER ISPWC SD-627, QTY 2.

1	D7. 12'x12' HAND PLACED 8" DIA RIP RAP OVER NON-WOVEN
į	GEOTEXTILE AT THE END OF THE PIPE TO HELP REDUCE
	POTENTIAL FOR FROSION, SET TOP OF RIP RAP FLUSH WITH
i	BOTTOM OF SWALF
	Borrom of Smile.

![](_page_49_Picture_22.jpeg)

C D	07/23/	21–C0 22–NH	DNTRAG	CTOR UNTY
	CITES OWAL ENCLAR	10077 (01/17/2022)	10 10 10 10 L	LE WARM
	ASPEN	ENGINEERS	1619 N. Linder Rd. Suite 110 · Kuna. Idaho 83634	Phone: 208-466-8181 · AspenEngineers.com
DEVELOPER	CORSBERG LAND, LLC	CUNTACT: AUDRET CURSBERG 3901 E. LEWIS LN NAMPA IDAHO 82686	PHONE: 208-250-9809	
	RED TAIL ESTATES	SUBDIVISION NO. 3	3901 & 4001 E. LEWIS LN	NAMPA, IDAHO 83686
DRA CHE DAT	WN FCW CKED LBW E 01/1 JECT 20	S S <i>RE</i> 7/20	ALE HO\ VISION D D21	<b>VN</b>
TITI RE SU	E D TAII BDIVI STOR P (1	L ES SIO M D LAN OF 2	STA N NO RAII 2)	TES O. 3 N
SHE	ET C	3.	1	

REVISIONS

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

28+00

2.64

\_\_\_\_

- 1. SEE SHEET C1.1 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES AND LEGEND.
- 2. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL SURVEY MONUMENTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. ANY MONUMENT DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL BE REPLACED BY A PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR AT THE EXPENSE OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 3. ADD 2500' TO SITE ELEVATIONS TO OBTAIN THE PROJECT DATUM.
- 4. EXISTING CONTOUR LINES ARE SHOWN AT AT INTERVAL OF 1'. FINISHED CONTOUR LINES ARE SHOWN AT AN INTERVAL OF 1'.
- 5. SEE SHEETS C4.1 TO C4.3 FOR STREET PLAN AND PROFILE.
- 6. SEE SHEET C5.1 FOR STREET DETAILS.
- 7. ABANDONED TEST PITS, STORM DRAINS OR ANY OTHER DISTURBED EXCAVATION LOCATED UNDER THE PROPOSED STREET SHALL BE RE-EXCAVATED TO NATIVE SOIL AND BACKFILLED WITH STRUCTURAL FILL PER ISPWC SPECIFICATIONS. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SOILS DATA TO VERIFY NATIVE MATERIAL OR ANY SOURCE USED FOR BACKFILL MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF ENGINEERED FILL PER ISPWC AND PROVIDE A COPY OF ALL COMPACTION TESTS TO THE CITY.
- 8. CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE AND DISPOSE (OR RELOCATE AS NEEDED) ALL SITE FEATURES THAT CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS.
- 9. ALL CULVERTS SHALL BE: 14 GAGE (0.079" THICK) ALUMINIZED, POLYMER COATED OR TRENCH COATED STEEL WITH 2-2/3"x1/2" CORRUGATIONS; OR CLASS V REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE PER ACCHD STANDARD 3070.020.
- 10. THIS SITE HAS GRAVITY IRRIGATION DITCHES ALONG NEARLY THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF BOTH SIDES OF THE PROPOSED ROAD WHICH WILL LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM THE SUBDIVISION LOTS THAT WILL MAKE IT TO THE PROPOSED SWALES. SEE SHEETS C6.1 TO C6.4 FOR GRAVITY IRRIGATION PLAN.

# 

- D1. 6'x12' HAND PLACED 8" DIA RIP RAP OVER NON-WOVEN GEOTEXTILE AT THE END OF THE PIPE TO HELP REDUCE POTENTIAL FOR EROSION. SET TOP OF RIP RAP FLUSH WITH BOTTOM OF SWALE, QTY 2.
- D2. SWALE #1 DESIGN CAPACITY: 6,530 CF± TOP OF SWALE ELEV:  $67.9\pm$ DESIGN WATER ELEV: 66.9± (WATER AREA: 4,343 SF)
- BOTTOM OF SWALE: 64.9± (BOTTOM AREA: 2,295 SF) (SEE DETAIL B/C5.1)
- D3. 27'x85' SAND INFILTRATION WINDOW #1 EXCAVATE TO WELL DRAINING SOIL (ANTICIPATED TO BE 8' BELOW EXISTING GRADE OR DEEPER IF DETERMINED BY ENGINEER) AND BACKFILL WITH 1.5' OF FILTER SAND OVER PIT RUN (SEE DETAIL B/C5.1).
- D4. SWALE #2 DESIGN CAPACITY: 13,786 CF± TOP OF SWALE ELEV: 72.4± DESIGN WATER ELEV: 71.4± (WATER AREA: 7,441 SF) BOTTOM OF SWALE: 68.9± (BOTTOM AREA: 3,770 SF) (SEE DETAIL B/C5.1)
- D5. 27'x141' SAND INFILTRATION WINDOW #2 EXCAVATE TO WELL DRAINING SOIL (ANTICIPATED TO BE 8' BELOW EXISTING GRADE OR DEEPER IF DETERMINED BY ENGINEER) AND BACKFILL WITH 1.5' OF FILTER SAND OVER PIT RUN (SEE DETAIL B/C5.1).
- D6. 4" DIA GROUNDWATER OBSERVATION WELL PER ISPWC SD-627, QTY 2.

,	D7.	12'x12'	HAND P	LACED 8	' DIA	RIP R	AP OVE	R NO	V-WOVE	-N
,	{-···	GEOTE	TILF AT	THE END	OF T	HF PIF	PF TO	HFI P	REDUCE	
1	Ç	POTEN	TIAL FOR	FROSION	SET	TOP		RAP	FLUSH	- WITH
i	}	BOTTO			. 321	101		1.7.11	LUGH	
	کسد	DUTIO		ALL.	····	·····	····		min	~~~

80

	07/23, 01/17, 01/17, 01/17, 01/17, 01/17,	/21-Ci /22-N /22-N /22-N /22-N	ONTRACTO	
	ASPEN	ENGINEERS	1619 N. Linder Rd, Suite 110 · Kuna, Idaho 83634 Phone: 208-466-8181 · AsnenEngineers com	
DEVELOPER	CORSBERG LAND, LLC	CONTACT: AUDREY CORSBERG 3901 E. LEWIS LN	NAMPA, IUAHU 83686 PHONE: 208-250-9809	
	RED TAIL ESTATES	SUBDIVISION NO. 3	3901 & 4001 E. LEWIS LN NAMPA, IDAHO 83686	
DRA CHE DAT PRO TITTI RE SU	WN TCW CKED LBW E 01/ JECT ZE E E D TA BDIV STOF	SC S RE 17/20 2006 IL ES ISIO	ALE HOWI VISION D D21 1 STATE N NO.	N S 3
SHE	Г (2 ЕТ С	OF	<sup>2</sup> )	

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

- 1. SEE SHEET C1.1 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES AND LEGEND.
- 2. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL SURVEY MONUMENTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. ANY MONUMENT DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL BE REPLACED BY A PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR AT THE EXPENSE OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 3. ADD 2500' TO SITE ELEVATIONS TO OBTAIN THE PROJECT DATUM.
- 4. EXISTING CONTOUR LINES ARE SHOWN AT AT INTERVAL OF 2'. FINISHED CONTOUR LINES ARE SHOWN AT AN INTERVAL OF 1'.
- 5. SEE SHEETS C3.1 AND C3.2 FOR DRAINAGE PLAN.
- 6. SEE SHEET C6.1 FOR STREET DETAILS.
- 7. ABANDONED TEST PITS, STORM DRAINS OR ANY OTHER DISTURBED EXCAVATION LOCATED UNDER THE PROPOSED STREET SHALL BE RE-EXCAVATED TO NATIVE SOIL AND BACKFILLED WITH STRUCTURAL FILL PER ISPWC SPECIFICATIONS. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SOILS DATA TO VERIFY NATIVE MATERIAL OR ANY SOURCE USED FOR BACKFILL MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF ENGINEERED FILL PER ISPWC AND PROVIDE A COPY OF ALL COMPACTION TESTS TO THE
- 8. CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE AND DISPOSE (OR RELOCATE AS NEEDED) ALL SITE FEATURES THAT CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVÉMENTS.
- 9. CONTRACTOR SHALL GRADE BEYOND LIMITS OF RIGHT-OF-WAY AT THE TIME OF ROAD CONSTRUCTION AS NEEDED TO CREATE SIDE SLOPES ACCEPTABLE TO ENGINEER AND DEVELOPER. 10. THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL GEOMETRY OF ARVALIS DR WAS
- BASED ON A DESIGN SPEED OF 25 MPH PER THE AASHTO GREEN 11. THE EXISTING CORSBERG HOME LOCATED IN THE PROPOSED LOT 7
- SHALL TAKE VEHICLE ACCESS FROM THE NEW PUBLIC ROAD AFTER CONSTRUCTION OF ROADWAY IS COMPLETED AND NEW DRIVEWAY TO THEIR RESIDENCE IS CONSTRUCTED. 12. COORDINATE PERMITTING OF ALL WORK IN THE E. LEWIS LEN
- RIGHT-OF-WAY WITH THE HIGHWAY DISTRICT.
- 13. ALL CULVERTS SHALL BE: 14 GAGE (0.079" THICK) ALUMINIZED, POLYMER COATED OR TRENCH COATED STEEL WITH 2-2/3"x1/2" CORRUGATIONS; OR CLASS V REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE PER ACCHD STANDARD 3070.020 (SEE SHEETS C3.1 TO C3.2)/..
- 14. THIS SITE HAS GRAVITY IRRIGATION DITCHES ALONG NEARLY THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF BOTH SIDES OF THE PROPOSED ROAD WHICH WILL LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM THE SUBDIVISION LOTS THAT WILL MAKE IT TO THE PROPOSED SWALES.

- A. 36"x36" STOP SIGN PER MUTCD AND ACCHD STANDARDS WITH STREET NAME SIGNS. ROAD NAME SIGNS SHALL BE INSTALLED BY THE DEVELOPER. ROAD SIGNS SHALL HAVE 9" WIDE BLADES, WITH 6" LETTERS AND 3" ROAD TYPE DESIGNATION.
- B. NO OUTLET SIGN PER MUTCD STANDARDS.
- C. 20 MPH SIGN PER MUTCD STANDARDS.
- D. PROVIDE AND INSTALL TYPE III METAL CLUSTER MAILBOX WITH A MINIMUM OF 13 COMPARTMENTS. COORDINATE LOCATION WITH USPS AND THE DEVELOPER.
- E. 50 LF± OF 12" DIA CULVERT PER ACCHD STANDARD 3070.20. F. 24' WIDE PAVED DRIVEWAY APPROACH PER STANDARD DRAWING
- ACCHD-105. DRIVEWAY SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH AT LEAST: 2.5" OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT; 6" OF 3/4"-MINUS GRAVEL BASE; AND 9" OF PITRUN SUBBASE.
- G. 12' WIDE PAVED DRIVEWAY (SEE SHEET C2.1) SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH AT LEAST: 2.5" OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT; 4" OF 3/4"-MINUS GRAVEL BASE; AND 6" OF PITRUN SUBBASE.

![](_page_51_Picture_26.jpeg)

REV	ISIONS
C D	07/23/21-CONTRACTOR 01/17/22-NHD/COUNTY
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
	1619 N. Linder Rd, Suite 110 · Kuna, Idaho 83634 Phone: 208-466-8181 · AspenEngineers.com
DEVELOPER	CORSBERG LAND, LLC CONTACT: AUDREY CORSBERG 3901 E. LEWIS LN NAMPA, IDAHO 83686 PHONE: 208-250-9809
	RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 3 3901 & 4001 E. LEWIS LN NAMPA, IDAHO 83686
DRA CHE DAT PRC	WN SCALE TCW SHOWN SCKED REVISION LBW D E 01/17/2021 DJECT 20061
RE SU	D TAIL ESTATES BDIVISION NO. 3 STREET PLAN AND PROFILE (1 OF 3)
	<b>C4.1</b>

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

		-SEE SHEETS OF 1 T		```				× 1	     _/
		FOR IRRIGATION PLA (SEE NOTE 14)	N			AL STA: 2	6+30.48	A C5.1	122
PROPOS DRAIN	SED STORM				пя	OFF AC	: 12.0 <sup>2</sup> L : 74.77	STA: 27+00.00 OFF: 12.0'L AC: 75.05	
			SWALE #2 (SEE SHEET C3	.1)	PT PT STA: 24+92.76 OFF: 12.0'L AC: 74.21	OFF: 12.0'L AC: 74.65		G	27+00
		STA: 24+11.6 OFF: 12.0			× · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		26+00 L(C)	EP == 60	
PT/I OW POIN	T	AC: 73.8		0.40%			2574 2573 2573 2573 2573 2573 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 5 7 3 2 5 7 2 5 7 3 2 5 7 2 5 7 3 2 5 7 3 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 7 2 5 2 5	2574 2575 00 18	
STA: 23+00.55 OFF: 12.0'I AC: 73.4/			24 to syle CV	EG		STA: 25+18.91 OFF: 12.0'R AC: 74.32	AC: 74.65	- 10PI	
	2.94 2.94 2.94 2.94	0.40%	PC ST.	A: 24+11.61 T: 12.0'R T3.89	PT STA: 24+92.7 OFF: 12.0'R AC: 74.21	6			L
0.40% L		1288	STA: 23+77.07 OFF: 12.0'R AC: 73.75	8 / 0 · · · ·					
+87.32	PT, STA 12IRR OFF	A: 23+00.53 F: 12.0'R T: 73.44		LOT 10		57.78	5		
D'R .0	PI	 100.	10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.						
SE FC (S	E SHEETS C6.1 TO R IRRIGATION PLAN EE NOTE 14	C6.8							
		 				~			
								151 / 100	
<u>ET PLAN</u>	– ARVALIS	5 DR							
ET PLAN · "=40' Station	<u>– ARVALIS</u>	5 DR		04150			00 + 00		
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50	<u>– ARVALIS</u>   	<u>5 DR</u>	24+00	24+50	25+00	25+50	26+00	26+50	+
<u>ET PLAN ·</u> "=40' Station 22+50	<u>– ARVALIS</u>   	<u>5 DR</u>	24+00	24+50	25+00	25+50	26+00	26+50	+
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50	<u>– ARVALIS</u>  	<u>23+50</u>	24+00	24+50	25+00	25+50	26+00	26+50	+
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50	<u>– ARVALIS</u>  	<u>23+50</u>	24+00	24+50	25+00	25+50	26+00	26+50	+
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50	<u>– ARVALIS</u> 23+00	<u>S DR</u>	24+00	24+50	= 25+00 25+00	25+50	26+00	26+50	+
ET PLAN "=40" Station 22+50	<u>– ARVALIS</u> 23+00 Ei: 5213:69	<u>S DR</u>	24+00 STA: 24+11.61 ELEV: 2574.13	24+50	ELEV: 2574.45	25+50	26+00 APPROXIMATI OF EXISTING	E PROFILE GRADE	+
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50	<u>ARVALIS</u> 23+00 23+00 ETEX: 5213.69	<u>23+50</u>	24+00 STA: 24+11.61 ELEV: 2574.13	24+50	214-2276 STA: 24+92.76 ELEV: 2574.45		26+00	26+50 E PROFILE GRADE	+
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50	- ARVALIS	23+50 23+50	24+00 SIA: 24+11.61 ELEV: 2574.13	24+50	ELEV: 2574.45		26+00	26+50 E PROFILE GRADE PROP	POSED ET CEN
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50	- ARVALIS	<u>23+50</u>	24+00 SIA: 24+11.61 ELEV: 2574.13	24+50	25+00 25+00 EFEV: 2574.45		26+00	26+50 E PROFILE GRADE PROP	POSED ET CEN
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50 -0.40%	- ARVALIS	<u>23+50</u>	24+00 24+1161 ELEV: 2574.13 	24+50	25+00 214: 25+00 EFEV: 2574:45		26+00 APPROXIMATI OF EXISTING	26+50 E PROFILE GRADE PROP STREI	POSED ET CEN
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50 -0.40% -0.40%	- ARVALIS	<u>S DR</u>	24+00 24+110 ELEV: 2574:13 	24+50	25+00 214: 25+00 EFEV: 2574:45		26+00 - APPROXIMATI OF EXISTING	26+50 E PROFILE GRADE PROP STREI	POSED ET CEI
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50	- ARVALIS	<u>23+50</u>	24+00 24+00 3IV: 251411 ELEV: 257413	24+50	25+00 214-92.76 STA: 24+92.76 ELEV: 2574.45		26+00	26+50 E PROFILE GRADE PROP STREI	POSED ET CEN
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50	- ARVALIS	<u>23+50</u>	24+00 24+11.0 ELEV: 2574:13 Calibric Control of the second sec	24=50	= 25+00 25+00 EIEV: 2574.45			26+50 E PROFILE GRADE PROP STREI	POSED ET CEN
ET PLAN "=40' Station 22+50 -0.40% -0.40% -0.40% -0.40%	- ARVALIS	<u>23+50</u> <u>23+50</u> <u>100</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+22</u> <u>11+2</u>	574.1± 574.1± 574.08 574.08 ELEV: 2574.13	24+50 24+50 0.40%	= 25+00 25+00 25+00 ELEV: 2574.45 574.48 174.48	25+50 	26+00 APPROXIMATI OF EXISTING 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	26+50 E PROFILE GRADE PROP PROP STREI	POSED

![](_page_52_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

+00'

NOTES

- 1. SEE SHEET C1.1 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES AND LEGEND.
- 2. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT ALL SURVEY MONUMENTS DURING CONSTRUCTION. ANY MONUMENT DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL BE REPLACED BY A PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR AT THE EXPENSE OF THE CONTRACTOR.
- 3. ADD 2500' TO SITE ELEVATIONS TO OBTAIN THE PROJECT DATUM.
- 4. EXISTING CONTOUR LINES ARE SHOWN AT AT INTERVAL OF 2'. FINISHED CONTOUR LINES ARE SHOWN AT AN INTERVAL OF 1'.
- 5. SEE SHEETS C3.1 AND C3.2 FOR DRAINAGE PLAN.
- 6. SEE SHEET C6.1 FOR STREET DETAILS.
- 7. ABANDONED TEST PITS, STORM DRAINS OR ANY OTHER DISTURBED EXCAVATION LOCATED UNDER THE PROPOSED STREET SHALL BE RE-EXCAVATED TO NATIVE SOIL AND BACKFILLED WITH STRUCTURAL FILL PER ISPWC SPECIFICATIONS. CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE SOILS DATA TO VERIFY NATIVE MATERIAL OR ANY SOURCE USED FOR BACKFILL MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF ENGINEERED FILL PER ISPWC AND PROVIDE A COPY OF ALL COMPACTION TESTS TO THE CITY
- 8. CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE AND DISPOSE (OR RELOCATE AS NEEDED) ALL SITE FEATURES THAT CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL GRADE BEYOND LIMITS OF RIGHT-OF-WAY AT THE TIME OF ROAD CONSTRUCTION AS NEEDED TO CREATE SIDE SLOPES ACCEPTABLE TO ENGINEER AND DEVELOPER.
   THE HORIZONITAL AND VERTICAL GEOMETRY OF ADVALUE DD WAS
- THE HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL GEOMETRY OF ARVALIS DR WAS BASED ON A DESIGN SPEED OF 25 MPH PER THE AASHTO GREEN BOOK.
   THE EXISTING CORSBERG HOME LOCATED IN THE PROPOSED LOT 7
- SHALL TAKE VEHICLE ACCESS FROM THE NEW PUBLIC ROAD AFTER CONSTRUCTION OF ROADWAY IS COMPLETED AND NEW DRIVEWAY TO THEIR RESIDENCE IS CONSTRUCTED.
  12. COORDINATE PERMITTING OF ALL WORK IN THE E. LEWIS LEN
- RIGHT-OF-WAY WITH THE HIGHWAY DISTRICT.
- 13. ALL CULVERTS SHALL BE: 14 GAGE (0.079" THICK) ALUMINIZED, POLYMER COATED OR TRENCH COATED STEEL WITH 2-2/3"x1/2" CORRUGATIONS; OR CLASS V REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE PER ACCHD STANDARD 3070.020 (SEE SHEETS C3.1 TO C3.2)/..
- 14. THIS SITE HAS GRAVITY IRRIGATION DITCHES ALONG NEARLY THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF BOTH SIDES OF THE PROPOSED ROAD WHICH WILL LIMIT THE AMOUNT OF STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM THE SUBDIVISION LOTS THAT WILL MAKE IT TO THE PROPOSED SWALES.

# 

- A. 36"x36" STOP SIGN PER MUTCD AND ACCHD STANDARDS WITH STREET NAME SIGNS. ROAD NAME SIGNS SHALL BE INSTALLED BY THE DEVELOPER. ROAD SIGNS SHALL HAVE 9" WIDE BLADES, WITH 6" LETTERS AND 3" ROAD TYPE DESIGNATION.
- B. NO OUTLET SIGN PER MUTCD STANDARDS.
- C. 20 MPH SIGN PER MUTCH STANDARDS.
- D. PROVIDE AND INSTALL TYPE III METAL CLUSTER MAILBOX WITH A MINIMUM OF 13 COMPARTMENTS. COORDINATE LOCATION WITH USPS AND THE DEVELOPER.
- E. 50 LF± OF 12" DIA CULVERT PER ACCHD STANDARD 3070.20.
- F. 24' WIDE PAVED DRIVEWAY APPROACH PER STANDARD DRAWING ACCHD-105. DRIVEWAY SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH AT LEAST: 2.5" OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT; 6" OF 3/4"-MINUS GRAVEL BASE; AND 9" OF PITRUN SUBBASE.
- G. 12' WIDE PAVED DRIVEWAY (SEE SHEET C2.1) SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH AT LEAST: 2.5" OF ASPHALT PAVEMENT; 4" OF 3/4"-MINUS GRAVEL BASE; AND 6" OF PITRUN SUBBASE.

D	07/23/21-CONTRACTOR 01/17/22-NHD/COUNTY
	CONTRACTOR AND
	Image: Figure Side Side Side Side Side Side Side Sid
DEVELOPER	CORSBERG LAND, LLC CONTACT: AUDREY CORSBERG 3901 E. LEWIS LN NAMPA, IDAHO 83686 PHONE: 208-250-9809
	RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 3 3901 & 4001 E. LEWIS LN NAMPA, IDAHO 83686
DRA 	WN SCALE FCW SHOWN CKED REVISION LBW D E 01/17/2021 JECT 20061
TITL RE SU	E D TAIL ESTATES BDIVISION NO. 3 STREET PLAN AND PROFILE (3 OF 3)
	C4.3 7 of 18

EVISIONS

![](_page_53_Picture_26.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### NOTES

- 1. SEE SHEET C1.1 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES AND LEGEND.
- 2. SEE SHEETS C3.1 TO C3.2 FOR STORM DRAIN PLAN.
- 3. SEE SHEETS C4.1 TO C4.3 FOR STREET PLAN AND PROFILE FOR NEW STREET.

70 ШШ **M**<sup>0</sup> σ ထွင္ထ い 国 国 S S S S CIVIL IMPROVEMENT DRAWINGS FIRED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 3 3901 & 4001 E. LEWIS LN NAMPA, IDAHO 83686 Ū DRAWN SCALE TCW SHOWN CHECKED REVISION LBW D DATE 01/17/2021 PROJECT 20061 TTLE **RED TAIL ESTATES** SUBDIVISION NO. 3 STREET AND STORM DRAIN DETAILS SHEET C5.

8 of 18

REVISIONS

07/23/21-CONTRACTOR

01/17/22-NHD/COUNTY

# 1. ASPHALT PAVING SHALL BE SP 3 WITH A NOMINAL MAXIMUM AGGREGATE SIZE OF 3/4" PER ACCHD SECTION 800.

2. CRUSHED AGGREGATE BASE PER 3/4"-MINUS PER ACCHD STANDARD 802.2.2.

3. PIT-RUN SUB-BASE SHALL BE 6"-MINUS UNCRUSHED AGGREGATE PER ISPWC 801.2.2.

4. SUBGRADE R-VALUE WAS MEASURED AT 56 @ 200 PSI BY AMERICAN GEOTECHNICS (MARCH 29, 2021). THEREFORE THE STANDARD MINIMUM PAVEMENT THICKNESS PER ACCHD STANDARDS ARE

5. DUE TO THE ANTICIPATED TRAFFIC INDEX WITH THIS ROAD ONLY SERVING 13 LOTS, THE PAVEMENT WIDTH AND THICKNESS FOR THIS ROAD IS BASED ON "LOW VOLUME STANDARDS" PER

> A C5.1

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

- THE ELEVATION OF CERTAIN DITCHES ADJACENT TO THE ROAD ARE

6. COMPLETE ALL WORK ON THE GRAVITY IRRIGATION SYSTEM OUTSIDE THE IRRIGATION SEASON (TYPICALLY APRIL 15 TO OCTOBER 15) OR COORDINATE WITH ALL AFFECTED USERS FOR ANY DISTURBANCE TO THE IRRIGATION DELIVERY SCHEDULE DURING THE IRRIGATION SEASON.

CRITICAL, THEREFORE THIS PLAN SHOWS THE LOCATION AND THE ELEVATION OF THE FLOWLINE OF THESE DITCHES FOR DESIGN. THESE ELEVATIONS ARE ALSO REFLECTED IN THE CORRESPONDING 3D SURFACE MODEL USED DESIGN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL GRADE A 10'-30' WIDE AREA ON THE UPHILL SIDE OF THE DITCHES AS NEEDED TO BLEND THE GROUND SLOPE DOWN TO THE TOP OF THE DITCH. THESE DITCHES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AS A 4' WIDE 1.5' DEEP TYPE A (CUT) DITCH PER

IN AREAS WHERE THE ELEVATIONS OF THE DITCH FLOWLINES ARE NOT PROVIDED, THE CONTRACTOR CAN CONSTRUCT THE 4' WIDE 1.5' DEEP TYPE A (CUT) DITCH PER ISPWC SD-621, BY MEASURING DOWN FROM THE EXISTING GROUND SURFACE. THESE DITCHES ARE NOT MODELED IN

STA: 22+23.4± €

\OFF: 109.9'L±-

SEE SHEET C3.24

FOR SWALE #22

ŚTA: 21+37.2±

└─OFF: 90.9'R±

 $\sim$  1.5' DEEP TYPE A (CUT)

LOT Ĵ1

EI · 70 80

- 9. ALL GRAVITY IRRIGATION PIPE SHALL CONFORM TO ISPWC SECTION 601. PIPE WILL BE SDR 35 PVC, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. 10. PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN A MINIMUM OF 12" OF COVER OVER PIPING.
- 11. ALL IRRIGATION MANHOLES SHALL BE PRECAST CONCRETE MANHOLES CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DETAILS 1/C7.1 AND A/C7.1.
- 12. SEE SHEETS C3.1 TO C3.2 FOR STORM DRAIN PLAN. 13. SEE SHEETS C6.5 TO C6.8 FOR LOW PRESSURE IRRIGATION PLAN.
- 14. PRIOR TO BEGINNING WORK, CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM A SITE WALKTHROUGH WITH THE DEVELOPER TO CONFIRM IMPROVEMENTS ARE IN

![](_page_55_Figure_16.jpeg)

SHEET

C6.1

9 of 18

![](_page_55_Figure_17.jpeg)

LINE WITH THEIR EXPECTATIONS.

STA: 24+22.2

 $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ 

STA: 24

OFF: RIM: 2

12" IE(E):

12" IE(N):

OFF: 52.0L'±

3.0 LF± (7.00' C-C)

12" DIA SDR 35 PVC

@ 1.46% SLOPE

IRMH #B1 STA: 24+22.4±

OFF: 45.0L'±

12" IE(E): 2572.69

12" IE W): 2569.69

12" IE(S): 2572.69

398.0 LF± (401.50' C-C) CF 12" DIA SDR 35 PVC @ 0.22% SLOPE

SEE SHEET C6.5 TO C6.8

FOR LOW PRESSURE

/IRRIGATION PLAN

12" IE: 2572.7

![](_page_56_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_16.jpeg)

C6.3

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

6. COMPLETE ALL WORK ON THE GRAVITY IRRIGATION SYSTEM OUTSIDE THE IRRIGATION SEASON (TYPICALLY APRIL 15 TO OCTOBER 15) OR COORDINATE WITH ALL AFFECTED USERS FOR ANY DISTURBANCE TO THE IRRIGATION DELIVERY SCHEDULE DURING THE IRRIGATION SEASON.

CRITICAL, THEREFORE THIS PLAN SHOWS THE LOCATION AND THE ELEVATION OF THE FLOWLINE OF THESE DITCHES FOR DESIGN. THESE ELEVATIONS ARE ALSO REFLECTED IN THE CORRESPONDING 3D SURFACE MODEL USED DESIGN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL GRADE A 10'-30' WIDE AREA ON THE UPHILL SIDE OF THE DITCHES AS NEEDED TO BLEND THE GROUND SLOPE DOWN TO THE TOP OF THE DITCH. THESE DITCHES SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AS A 4' WIDE 1.5' DEEP TYPE A (CUT) DITCH PER

8. IN AREAS WHERE THE ELEVATIONS OF THE DITCH FLOWLINES ARE NOT PROVIDED, THE CONTRACTOR CAN CONSTRUCT THE 4' WIDE 1.5' DEEP TYPE A (CUT) DITCH PER ISPWC SD-621, BY MEASURING DOWN FROM THE EXISTING GROUND SURFACE. THESE DITCHES ARE NOT MODELED IN

> SEE SHEET C6.5 TO C6.8~ FOR LOW PRESSURE IRRIGATION PLAN

STA: 33+78.3±

FL: 79.47

1.5' DEEP TYPE A (CUT) DITCH PER ISPWC SD-621 SLOPE TO DRAIN WEST

1.5%

1.9%

- SEE SHEET C6.5 TO C6.8

FOR LOW PRESSURE

-258

IRRIGATION PLAN

STA: 34+56.1± /-OFF: 34.1'L±

4.3 LF± (7.00° C-C)

@ 2.32% SLOPE STRUCTURE - (33)

12" IE(W): 2575.80

12" IE(SE): 2575.80

12" IE(NW): 2575.80

12" IE(N): 2575.80

@ 3.41% SLOPE

COFF: 93.1R'± FLARED END WITH RACK 12" IE: 2576.20

STA: 34+53.9±

11.7 LF± (14.42' C–C)

OF 12" DIA SDR 35 PVC

STA: 34+56.7±

OFF: 78.9R'±

RIM: 2580.5±

TOF 12" DIA SDR 35 PVC

STA: 34+63.0± OFF: 27.6'L±

FL: 76.20

1.5' DEEP TYPE A (CUT) DITCH PER ISPWC SD-621 SLOPE TO DRAIN WEST

₹.8%

WASTEWATER

- 9. ALL GRAVITY IRRIGATION PIPE SHALL CONFORM TO ISPWC SECTION 601. PIPE WILL BE SDR 35 PVC, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. 10. PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN A MINIMUM OF 12" OF COVER OVER PIPING.
- 11. ALL IRRIGATION MANHOLES SHALL BE PRECAST CONCRETE MANHOLES CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH DETAILS 1/C7.1 AND A/C7.1.
- 12. SEE SHEETS C3.1 TO C3.2 FOR STORM DRAIN PLAN.

-EXISTING POINT OF

DELIVERY FOR BURKE (24.38 MINER'S INCHES)

> ~0 **~**6

> > SCALE (FT)

- 13. SEE SHEETS C6.5 TO C6.8 FOR LOW PRESSURE IRRIGATION PLAN. 14. PRIOR TO BEGINNING WORK, CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM A SITE
- WALKTHROUGH WITH THE DEVELOPER TO CONFIRM IMPROVEMENTS ARE IN LINE WITH THEIR EXPECTATIONS.

![](_page_58_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_0.jpeg)

EVISIONS

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

GRAVITY IRRIGATION MANHOLE – PLAN VIEW SCALE: N.T.S.

## NOTES

 $\overline{1}$ 

- 1. SEE SHEET C1.1 FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES AND LEGEND.
- 2. SEE SHEETS C6.1 TO C6.4 FOR GRAVITY IRRIGATION PLAN.
- 3. SEE SHEETS C6.5 TO C6.8 FOR LOW PRESSURE IRRIGATION PLAN.

REV C	ISIONS 07/23,	/21–C	ONTRACTOR
D	01/17,	/22-N	HD/COUNTY
		A	_
	CELESSIONAL ENCI	<b>Cartes 1 0 0 7 7</b>	THE OF OF CO
	ASPEN	ENGINEERS	1619 N. Linder Rd, Suite 110 · Kuna, Idaho 83634 Phone: 208-466-8181 · AspenEngineers.com
DEVELOPER	CORSBERG LAND, LLC	CONTACT: AUDREY CORSBERG 3901 E. LEWIS LN	NAMPA, IUAHU 83686 PHONE: 208-250-9809
	RED TAIL ESTATES	SUBDIVISION NO. 3	3901 & 4001 E. LEWIS LN NAMPA, IDAHO 83686
DRA CHE DAT TITI RE SU	WN TCW CKED LBW E 01/ <sup>-</sup> 2 JECT 2 E DTA BDIV IRRI DE (1	S S RE 17/20 2006 IL ES ISIO GAT TAII OF	ALE SHOWN D D21 1 STATES N NO. 3 TION LS 2)
знE	۵۲ ۲7	<b>7</b> .	. <b>1</b> 8

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_2.jpeg)

6"x6" VALVE -

6" ALFALFA VALVE OR -

![](_page_64_Picture_3.jpeg)

6

SCALE: N.T.S.

![](_page_64_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

18

5'	CP&F Inst. N	Illegible No. 200041476 Sec 12 Sec 13	Sec Sec
3TH 00 96 )(R2) 52	3 53 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.2N., R.2W.	T.2N., R.1W.
93 93 96 09 82 RVE TABLE	(4) (2,00,00 (4) (2,00,00 (7,11,1,10) (4) (7,10) (7	)7 <b>82"</b>	
CENTRAL ANGLE	CHORD BEARING	CHORD	
89°59'59'	N 45°24'02" W	56.57	
90°00'00"	S 44°35'58" W	56.57	
15"20"04"	S 08°04'04" E	114./4	
15"20"04"	S 06°04'04" E	100.74	I
15"20"04"	S 06°04'04" E	85.73	
38"37"34"	N U3°34'41" E	244./4	
24907/201	N 11"39U3" W	409.52	
31 07 20	N 07 1844 E	180.33	
200 27 24	N 03 3441 E	204.00	
30 37 34	N 03 3441 E	209.43	
0590292	N 01 03 30 E	240.47	0 m
00 02 23	N 20 22 17 E	J1.01 85.84	4.7 19
00'43'10"	5 10°30'30" W	00.04	287 - 287
00 12 30	5 18 4/ 11 W	40.00	
UZ JZ41 44032478	5 15 24 55 W	302.25	р Б
07922/28	S 00 41 34 W	JUZ.2J	8 19
51°20"28"	N 20°49'25" E	47.72	8 8 8
82°10'55"	S 15°25'42" E	20.66	HA
122*55'00"	S 04901113" W	122.00	
101°24'25"	N 04°01'03" F	108.34	
51°20'26"	N 31°46'19" W	17.33	
62°10'55"	S 23°37'48" W	20.66	
15°24'13"	S 13°48'13" E	115.26	
14°02'40"	S 14°28'59" E	90.47	
01°26'29"	S 08°10'53" E	9.31	I
12°36'12"	S 15°12'13" E	81.22	' I
11°37'29"	N 15°41'35" W	74.94	
11°37'29'	N 15°41'35" W	81.02	
11°37'29"	N 15°41'35" W	87.09	
<b>29°21'19"</b>	N 04°47'49" E	187.50	l
31°55'16"	N 06°04'47" E	219.98	
29°52'16"	N 05°03'17" E	221.65	
01°30'28"	N 09°07'37" W	11.31	
28°21'49"	N 05°48'31" E	210.70	
58°32'52"	N 48°44'54" E	19.56	
54°25'17"	S 07°13'13" E	18.29	
292°27'11"	S 68°12'16" E	77.83	I
60°22'25'	S 47°49'58" W	70.41	
51°15'16"	S 07"59'50" E	60.57	
55°00'02"	S 61°07'57" E	64.65	
125°47'47"	N 28°28'02" E	124.63	

LEGEND **Calculated Point**  $\Delta$  $\oplus$ Found aluminum cap monument  $\bigcirc$ Found 5/8 inch dia. iron pin Set 5/8 inch dia. x 24 inch iron pin w/ plastic cap "PLS 7732"  $\bigcirc$ Found 1/2 inch dia. iron pin Set 1/2 inch dia. x 24 inch iron pin w/ plastic cap "PLS 7732" Set 1/2 inch dia. x 24 inch iron pin w/ plastic cap "ESMT COR PLS 7732" Found 1 inch dia iron pipe 0 Found axle R.M. **Reference Monument** 1Block number Lot number **Boundary Line** Section Line **Property Line Easement Line** Lot Line **Centerline Line** Irrigation Easemen

#### NOTES:

1. Any Resubdivision of this Plat shall Comply with the Applicable Zoning Regulations in effect at that time. 2. Minimum Building Setbacks shall be in Accordance with the Canyon County Applicable Zoning and Subdivision Regulations at the time of issuance of Individual Building Permits or as Specifically Approved and/or required, or as shown on this Plat.

Scale: 1" = 200'

3. Sewage disposal shall be provided by individual septic systems. All septic systems shall be approved by the Southwest District Health Department and must be sized in accordance with Southwest District Health Department Rules and Regulations.

- 4. Water supply shall be provided by Individual Wells.
- 5. This property is located in the Nampa-Meridian Irrigation District and has surface water rights for irrigation use.
- 6. Lot 4 and Lot 13, Block 1 are subject to a Stormwater Retention Area Easement as shown hereon.

7. A Permanent Easement for Public Utilities, Drainage and Pressure Irrigation is Hereby Designated as follows, unless otherwise Dimensioned:

- a) 10' along Subdivision Boundary as Plotted Hereon.
- b) 5' along each side of the Interior Lot Lines as Plotted Hereon.

c) All Lot Lines Common to any Public Right of Way and Rear Lot Lines are hereby designated as having a Permanent Ten foot wide (10') Easement as Plotted hereon.

If any Lot Lines are Adjusted, the Easement shall also be Adjusted Accordingly, Providing that Facilities have not been Installed within the Easement.

8. Building Setbacks and Dimensional Standards in this Subdivision Shall Conform to the Applicable Zoning Regulations at the Time of Resubdivision, or as Allowed by Current Zoning and Regulations set forth by the Canyon County.

9. This Development Recognizes Section 22-4503 of the Idaho Code, Right of Farm Act, which States: No agricultural operation, agricultural facility or expansion thereof shall be or become a nuisance, private or public, by any changed conditions in or about the surrounding nonagricultural activities after it has been in operation for more than one (1) year, when the operation, facility or expansion was not a nuisance at the time it began or was constructed. The provisions of this section shall not apply when a nuisance results from the improper or negligent operation of an agricultural operation, agricultural facility or expansion thereof. 10. No Direct Lot Access to E. Lewis Lane is allowed.

11. The Homeowner's Association or adjacent property owner is responsible for maintaining any and all amenities (lawns, sprinklers, sidewalks, landscaping, etc.) approved by the District to be within the public right-of-way. 12. The Homeowner's Association, underlying property owner or adjacent property owner is responsible for all storm drainage facilities outside the public right-of-way, including all routine and heavy maintenance. 13. Storm drainage facilities outside the public Right-of-Way shall be the responsibility of the Homewoner's

Association or property owner on which the storm drainage facility is constructed if no Homeowner's Assoc exists. Responsibility for storm drainage facilities includes all maintenance both routine and non-routine. 14. All Lots along a Section line are encumbered by a 70 foot wide setback from the Boundary of said Section

line. No permanent structures may be constructed within that setback. 15. Nampa Highway District No. 1 does hereby accept this plat, and the dedicated public streets, Highways, and Rights-of-Way as are depicted on this plat, in accordance with the provisions of I.C. 50-1312.

16. An easement is provided for all remaining irrigation pipes as shown hereon.

## **REFERENCE DATA**

- R1) Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 1, Bk 33, Pg 19
- R2) Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 2, Bk 40, Pg 19
- R3) Record of Survey, Inst. No. 2017-024573

## COMPASS LAND SURVEYING, PLLC

623 11th Avenue South Office: (208) 442-0115 JN 1621

Nampa, ID 83651 Fax: (208) 327-2106 01/31/2022

SHEET 1 OF 6

-	No.	BY	DATE	DESCRIPTION
NS	1	₩	01/25/22	County Surveyor & HWY Dept. Comments
SIC				
54				
R				

CP&F Inst. No. 2020-066831 "PLS 7881"

E1/4 Cor. Sec 13

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)

# PLAT OF RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 3

# BEING A REPLAT OF A PORTION OF LOT 6, BLOCK 1, RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 2, RECORDS OF CANYON COUNTY, BOOK 40, PAGE 19, AND A PORTION OF THE W1/2 NE1/4 OF SECTION 13, T. 2 N., R. 2 W., B.M., CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO

![](_page_68_Figure_3.jpeg)

LEGEND	
Calculated Point	
Found aluminum cap	monument

 $\oplus$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

R.M.

(1)

Found 5/8 inch dia. iron pin

Set 5/8 inch dia. x 24 inch iron pin w/ plastic cap "PLS 7732"

Found 1/2 inch dia. iron pin

Set 1/2 inch dia. x 24 inch iron pin w/ plastic cap "PLS 7732"

LINE

Set 1/2 inch dia. x 24 inch iron pin w/ plastic cap "ESMT COR PLS 7732"

Found 1 inch dia iron pipe

LINE TABLE

BEARING

LENGTH

Found axle

**Reference Monument** 

#### Block number

Lot number Boundary Line Section Line Property Line Line Easement Line

 Easement Lir
 Lot Line

Centerline Line Irrigation Easem

	L1	S 00°03'43" E	50.00
ľ	L2	NOT USED	
ſ	L3	S 55°06'30" W	52.96
		(S 55°08'47" W)(R2)	(52.91)(R2)
ſ	L4	S 89°38'30" W	61.52
		(S 89°38'19" W)(R2)	
ent [	L5	S 03°26'12" E	40.07
[	L6	NOT USED	
	L7	N 22°02'25" E	58.93
ſ	L8	N 89°35'58" E	38.36
[	L9	N 00°24'02" W	25.09
[	L10	S 34°13'55" E	13.82
[	L11	S 21°14'51" E	70.08
	L12	N 22°53'28" E	83.39
[	L13	N 21°14'51" W	24.52
[	L14	N 09°52'51" W	36.70
[	L15	N 00°24'37" W	57.95
	L16	N 80°11'08" E	12.90
	L17	S 06°12'56" E	49.28
[	L18	S 06°12'56" E	54.83
	L19	S 01°30'38" W	11.87
[	L20	S 01°30'38" W	19.04
[	L21	N 49°12'25" W	16.09
	L22	N 49°12'25" W	9.94
[	L23	N 60°12'30" W	51.14
[	L24	S 60°12'30" E	53.39
[	L25	S 00°24'02" E	8.52

2TH				CHUDDU		
9111 93						
<u>03</u>	40.00	0000000	S 44925'59" W	30.3/ 58.57		
<u>00</u>	420.00	45°2004"	9 44 33 30 W	11A 7A		
	400.00	15 20 07	<u><u> </u></u>	108.74		
 M3	370.00	10 20 04	<u>5 00 04 04 E</u> <u>S 02004104 E</u>	00.74		
44	370.00	10 2004	N 02°24'44" E	944 74		
<b></b> 44	370.00	00 37 34	N 11°50'02" W	<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>		
00	370.00	31907928	N 07º10'44" E	108 53		
 AR	400.00	38°37'34"	N (13°34'41" F	2R4 5R		
	430.00	38°37'34"	N (3°34'41" F	284 43		
.06	430.00	33°35'11"	N 01°03'30" F	248 47		
82	430.00	05°02'23"	N 20°22'17" F	37.81		
70	430.00	08°45'16"	S 18°30'50" W	<u>65.64</u>		
<u></u> 60	430.00	06°12'35"	S 19°47'11" W	46.58		
10	430.00	02°32'41"	S 15°24'33" W	19.10		
	400.00	44°23'47"	S 00°41'34" W	302.25		
	370.00	07°23'43"	S 19°11'37" W	47.72		
<u>.                                    </u>	20.00	51°20'26"	N 39°48'25" E	17.33		
71	20.00	62°10'55"	S 15°35'42" E	20.66		
.17	70.00	122°55'09"	S 04°01'03" W	122.99		
.89	70.00	101°24'25'	N 04°01'03" E	108.34		
92	20.00	51°20'26"	N 31°46'19" W	17.33		
.71	20.00	62°10'55"	S 23°37'48" W	20.66		
.60	430.00	15°24'13"	S 13°48'13" E	115.26		
70	370.00	14°02'40"	S 14°28'59" E	90.47		
31	370.00	01°26'29"	S 08°10'53" E	9.31		
39	370.00	12°36'12"	S 15°12'13" E	81.22		
07	370.00	11°37'29''	N 15°41'35" W	74.94		
16	400.00	11°37'29"	N 15°41'35" W	81.02		
24	430.00	11°37'29'	N 15°41'35" W	87.09		
.57	370.00	<b>29°21'19''</b>	N 04°47'49" E	187.50		
.85	400.00	31°55'16"	N 06°04'47" E	219.98		
.18	430.00	29°52'16"	N 05°03'17" E	221.65		
32	430.00	01°30'28"	N 09°07'37" W	11.31		
.87	430.00	28°21'49"	N 05°48'31" E	210.70		
44	20.00	58°32'52"	N 48°44'54" E	19.56		
00	20.00	54°25'17"	S 07°13'13" E	18.29		
.30	70.00	<b>292°27'11'</b>	S 68°12'16" E	77.83		
77	70.00	60°22'25"	S 47°49'58" W	70.41		
64	70.00	51°15'16"	S 07°59'50" E	60.57		
20	70.00	55°00'02"	S 61°07'57" E	64.65		
.69	70.00	125°47'47"	N 28°28'02" E	124.63		
.99	90.00	119°40'18"	N 25°24'14" E	155.63		
.06	450.00	27°30'34"	N 06°14'06" E	213.99		
54	450.00	02°21'39"	N 08°42'01" W	18.54		
30	450.00	09°27'35"	N 14°36'38" W	74.21		
29	350.00	03°58'36"	S 20°54'10" W	24.29		
16	450.00	05°52'39"	N 19°57'09" E	46.14		
.21	450.00	32°44'55"	N 00°38'22" E	253.72		
<b>67</b>	350.00	15°20'04"	S 08°04'04" E	93.39		

# COMPASS LAND SURVEYING, PLLC

	د.	623 11th Avenue South         Nampa, ID 8365           Office: (208) 442-0115         Fax: (208) 327-2106           JN 1621         01/31/2022           SHEET 4 OF 6				Nampa, ID 83651 Fax: (208) 327-2106 01/31/2022 OF 6
TE OF WHA		No.	BY	DATE		DESCRIPTION
ARD A. CAR	NO NO					
$\smile$	<b>VISI</b>	<u> </u>				
	R					

# RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 3

BEING A REPLAT OF A PORTION OF LOT 6, BLOCK 1, RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 2, RECORDS OF CANYON COUNTY, BOOK 40, PAGE 19, AND A PORTION OF THE W1/2 NE1/4 OF SECTION 13, T. 2 N., R. 2 W., B.M., CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO

#### CERTIFICATE OF OWNERS

Known all men by these presents that Audrey R. Corsberg, Manager, Corsberg Land LLC Does Hereby Certify that Corsberg Land LLC is the owner of the Real Parcel of Land Hereinafter Described and that it is her Intention to include said Real Property in this Subdivision Plat.

The following Describes a Parcel of Land being a parcel of land being a portion of the W 1/2 NE 1/4 of Section 13, T. 2 N., R.2 W., B.M. and also being a portion of Lot 6, Block 1 of that certain plat recorded as Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 2, as on file in Book 40 of Plats at Page 19 in the Office of the Recorder of Canyon County, Idaho, recorded as Instrument No. 2007058130 more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a found 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped "PLS 7612" marking the NW corner of said NE 1/4, (North 1/4 corner of said Section 13), from which a found 5/8 inch diameter iron pin with illegible cap marking the NE corner of said NE 1/4, (Section corner common to Sections 12 and 13) bears N. 89 35' 58" E., a distance of 2669.72 feet; Thence along the Westerly boundary of said W 1/2 NE 1/4, S. 00 03' 43" E., a distance of 50.00 feet to a set 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped "CLS PLS 7732" marking the POINT OF BEGINNING and southerly right of way of E. Lewis

Lane:

Thence leaving said Westerly boundary and along said right of way, which is 50.00 feet south of and parallel with the Northerly boundary of said W 1/2 NE 1/4, N. 89 35' 58" E., a distance of 1224.80 feet to a set 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped " CLS PLS 7732" marking the Easterly boundary of said Lot 6 Block 1 of Red Tail Estates Subdivision No. 2; Thence along the Easterly boundary of said Lot 6 Block 1 the following courses and distances:

Thence S. 07 57' 06" E., a distance of 651.45 feet, (formerly S. 07 55' 55" E., a distance of 651.48 feet), to a set 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped " CLS PLS 7732";

Thence S. 24 11' 08" E., a distance of 371.88 feet, (formerly S. 24 13' 25" E., a distance of 371.82 feet), to a set 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped " CLS PLS 7732";

Thence S. 55 06' 30" W., a distance of 52.96 feet, (formerly S. 55 08' 47" W., a distance of 52.91 feet), to a found 5/8 inch diameter iron pin with stamped "PLS 10782";

Thence S. 37 53' 38" W., a distance of 145.22 feet, (formerly S. 37 53' 14" W., a distance of 145.39 feet), to a point, from which a found 5/8 inch diameter iron pin Reference Monument stamped "PLS 10782", bears S. 67 58' 52" e., a distance of 145.22 feet;

Thence continuing along said Easterly boundary and its prolongation, S. 00 00' 27" W., a distance of 1143.65 feet to a found 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped " CLS PLS 7732" marking the Southerly boundary of said Lot 6 Block 1;

Thence along the Southerly boundary of said Lot 6 Block 1, S. 89 38' 30" W., (formerly S. 89 38' 19" W.), a distance of 61.52 feet to a found 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped "PLS 10782";

Thence continuing along the Southerly boundary of said Lot 6 Block 1, S. 32 02' 01" W., a distance of 331.96 feet, (formerly S. 32 02' 28" W., a distance of 332.16 feet), to a set 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped " CLS PLS 7732";

Thence continuing along the Southerly boundary of said Lot 6 Block 1, S. 89 38' 47" W., a distance of 169.54 feet, (formerly S. 89 38' 19" W., a distance of 169.56 feet), to a set 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped "CLS PLS 7732";

Thence continuing along the Southerly boundary of said Lot 6 Block 1, S. 03 26' 12" E., a distance of 40.07 feet, (formerly S. 03 26' 12" E., a distance of 40.07 feet), to a found 5/8 inch diameter iron pin stamped "PLS 10782" marking the Southerly boundary of said W 1/2 NE 1/4;

Thence leaving said Southerly boundary and along the Southerly boundary of said W 1/2 NE 1/4, S. 89 38' 47" W., a distance of 926.81 feet to a found axle marking the Southwest corner of said W 1/2 NE 1/4, (Center 1/4 corner);

Thence along the Westerly boundary of said W 1/2 NE 1/4, N. 00 04' 05" W., a distance of 1321.38 feet to a found 1 inch diameter iron pipe marking the Southwest corner of the NW 1/4 NW 1/4, (Center north 1/16 corner);

Thence continuing along the Westerly boundary of said W 1/2 NE 1/4, N. 00 03' 43" W., a distance of 1271.57 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

This parcel contains 77.71 acres more or less.

The Public Street as shown on this Plat is Dedicated to the Public and will be maintained by Nampa Highway District No. 1. The usage of said Public Street is hereby Perpetually Reserved for Public Usage.

The Easements as shown on this Plat are not Dedicated to the Public, however the right to use said Easements is hereby Perpetually Reserved for Public Utilities and such other uses as Designated within this Plat and no Permanent Structures are to be erected within the lines of said Easements.

Audrey R. Corsberg Manager Corsberg Land LLC ACKNOWLEDGMENT STATE OF IDAHO COUNTY OF } SS

On this \_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, in the year 20\_\_\_\_\_, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared Audrey R. Corsberg, known or identified to me to be the manager of Corsberg Land, LLC that Executed the Instrument or the person who executed the instrument on behalf of said Limited Liability Company, and acknowledged to me that such Limited Liability Company executed the same.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this certificate first above written.

Notary Public for	
Residing at	
Commission expires _	

## CERTIFICATE OF SURVEYOR

I, Richard A. Gray do hereby certify that I am a Professional Land Surveyor licensed by the State of Idaho, and that this Record of Survey correctly represents a survey made by me or under my direct supervision in conformance with Idaho Code: 31-2709, 1947 and accepted procedures of land surveying. I further certify that I have complied with Title 55, Chapter 16, Idaho Code.

![](_page_69_Picture_31.jpeg)

## COMPASS LAND SURVEYING, PLLC

623 11th Avenue South Office: (208) 442-0115	Nampa, ID 8365° Fax: (208) 327-2106	
.IN 1621	01/31/2022	
	0 1/3 1/2022 8	
SHEET SUF	0	

# PLAT OF **RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 3**

## BEING A REPLAT OF A PORTION OF LOT 6, BLOCK 1, RED TAIL ESTATES SUBDIVISION NO. 2, RECORDS OF CANYON COUNTY, BOOK 40, PAGE 19, AND A PORTION OF THE W1/2 NE1/4 OF SECTION 13, T. 2 N., R. 2 W., B.M., CANYON COUNTY, IDAHO

#### APPROVAL OF CANYON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

I, the Undersigned, Chairman of Canyon County Commissioners, Canyon County, Idaho, do hereby certify that at a regular meeting of the Commissioners held on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_ \_\_\_, in the year of 20\_\_\_\_\_, this plat was duly accepted and approved.

Chairman

Date

## CERTIFICATE OF COUNTY SURVEYOR

I, the undersigned, Professional Land Surveyor, in and for Canyon County, Idaho, do hereby certify that I have checked this Plat, and that it complies with the State of Idaho Code relating to Plats and Surveys.

Canyon County Surveyor

Date

APPROVAL OF SOUTHWEST DISTRICT HEALTH DEPARTMENT

Sanitary restrictions as required by Idaho Code, Title 50, Chapter 13 have been satisfied. Sanitary restrictions may be reimposed, in accordance with Section 50-1326, Idaho Code, by the issuance of a certificate of disapproval.

Health District Signature

Date

CERTIFICATE OF HIGHWAY DISTRICT

Nampa Highway District No. 1 does hereby accept this plat, and the dedicated public streets, highways and rights-of-way as are depicted on this plat, in accordance with the provisions of I.C. 50-1312.

Highway District Chairman

Date

CERTIFICATE OF COUNTY TREASURER

I, the undersigned, County Treasurer in and for the County of Canyon, State of Idaho, per the requirements of I.C. 50-1308, do hereby certify that any and all current and/or delinquent County Property Taxes for the property included in this proposed subdivision have been paid in full.

This certificate is valid for the next thirty (30) days only.

**County Treasurer** 

Date

CERTIFICATE OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

I, the Undersigned, hereby certify that this Subdivision Plat has been accepted and approved this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_ by the Board of Commissioners of Canyon County, Idaho.

Chairperson

Date

![](_page_70_Picture_28.jpeg)

## **COMPASS LAND SURVEYING, PLLC**

623 11th Avenue South Office: (208) 442-0115 JN 1621

Nampa, ID 83651 Fax: (208) 327-2106 01/31/2022

SHEET 6 OF 6